arXiv:1406.7606v1 [q-fin.MF] 30 Jun 2014

Optimal Hybrid Dividend Strategy Under The Markovian Regime-Switching Economy

XiaoXiao Zheng*, Xin Zhang†

Abstract In this paper, we consider the optimal dividend problem for a company. We describe the surplus process of the company by a diffusion model with regime switching. The aim of the company is to choose a dividend policy to maximize the expected total discounted payments until ruin. In this article, we consider a hybrid dividend strategy, that is, the company is allowed to conduct continuous dividend strategy as well as impulsive dividend strategy. In addition, we consider the change of economy, which is characterized by a markovian regime-switching, and under the setting of two regimes, we solve the problem and obtain the analytical solution for the value function.

Keywords: dividend strategy; impulse control; regime switching; quasi-variational inequalities

*School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University

†School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China; Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing, 210096, P.R. China; E-mail: nku.x.zhang@gmail.com

1 Introduction

Over the past decades, optimal dividend problem has been a hot issue, and a large number of papers in this field have came out. The study of dividend problem has a realistic sense: for a joint-stock company, it has responsibility to pay dividends to its shareholder, therefore choosing a dividend strategy is important for this company. The research of dividend problem stems from the work of De Finetti [6]. He is the first to suggest that a company should maximize the expected discounted dividend payout. In earlier research of this field, scholars focus on two kinds of dividend strategies. The first one is the constant barrier strategy. In this model, we have a barrier b, splitting region into two parts. Under such policy, the surplus cannot cross the barrier b at any time $t > 0$, and when it hit the barrier, it will either stays at the barrier b or decreases below the barrier. Barrier strategy for the compound Poisson risk model has been studied by many scholars, Gerber and Shiu [7] has showed that barrier strategy is optimal for the compound Poisson risk model when the initial value is below the barrier. For more results concern this topic, we could refer to other references. Albrecher* et al. [2] studied a model that allows for dividend payments under a linear barrier strategy. In this paper, partial integro-differential equations for Gerber and Shiu's discounted penalty function and for the moment generating function of the discounted sum of dividend payments were derived. A surplus process in the presence of a nonlinear dividend barrier was investigated in Albrecher and Kainhofer [1]. Li and Garrido [9] treated renewal risk models with a constant dividend barrier. The second one is threshold strategy, specifically, dividends can be paid out at certain rate if the surplus exceeds a threshold. In the paper Gerber and Shiu [7], the author showed that the threshold strategy is optimal when the dividend rate is bounded and the claim distribution is exponential. Threshold dividend strategy under the classical compound Poisson model can be found in Lin and Pavlova [10].

Recently stochastic control theory has been introduced to solve optimal dividend problem. HJB equation, QVI, and singular control as tools were used to deal with dividend problem from different aspects. There are two dividend strategies of interest——continuous dividend strategy and impulsive dividend strategy. Asmussen and Taksar [3] considered optimal continuous dividend for diffusion model. In the paper Azcue and Muler [4], for compound Poisson model, the author not only studied the optimal continuous dividend strategy but also considered the reinsurance policy. Impulsive dividend and reinsurance strategies for diffusion model can be found in paper Cadenillas et al. [5]. The case of compound Poisson model was studied in Wei et al. [14]. In our paper we consider a hybrid strategy, that is, we combine the continuous dividend strategy with impulsive dividend strategy. In reality such model make sense, it means that this company is allowed not

only for continuously paying dividends but also for paying block dividends from time to time. Further we suppose the continuous dividend rate is bounded, while in Sotomayor and Cadenillas [13] the author consider the cases of both bound and unbound.

On the other hand, levy process, especially spectrally negative Levy process, is a powerful tool to characterize the dividend process, and there are many papers investigated this problem. In Loeffen et al. [12], under the classical optimal dividend control problem, the author studied the case in which the risk process is modeled by a general spectrally negative Levy process. Loeffen [11] considered an optimal dividends problem with transaction costs, and they assumed the reserves are described by a spectrally negative Levy process too.

During the past several years, there have been a large number of literatures considered regime switching problem. In general, regime switching was used to characterize the change of economic condition. Some scholars also studied regime switching in optimal dividend problem. (see Sotomayor and Cadenillas [13], Wei et al. [14], Wei et al. [15]).

In our paper, we articulate the problem of maximizing the expected utility of an company. Because of considering a compound dividend strategy(continuous dividend strategy as well as impulsive dividend strategy), the utility is consist of two parts which correspond to the two strategies respectively. On the other hand, we adopt Markovian regime-switching diffusion model to describe the surplus of the company, which is expected to reflect the economic circle's affection to the company's surplus.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the model and some assumptions. Further we give two properties about value function. In Section 3, we introduce quasi-variational inequalities(QVI) and give the verification theorem. Section 4 contain our main result. In this section, we work out the candidate for the value function, and then we verify that this function satisfy the conditions in the verification theorem. In section 5, we give the conclusion.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we assume the uncertainty is modeled by a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$. At first we assume that $\{\epsilon(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a homogenous finite-state continuous-time Markov chain, and for every $t \geq 0$: $\epsilon(t) \in \mathbb{J}$, where $\mathbb{J} = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ and $N \geq 2$. We also assume Markov chain ϵ has a strongly irreducible generator $Q = [\lambda_{ij}]_{N\times N}$, where $-\lambda_i := \lambda_{ii} < 0$ and $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{J}} \lambda_{ij} = 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{J}$. Here, Markov chain represent the change of the economic situation, it can be used to describe good economy and bad economy, or to describe the business circle: recovery, prosperity,

recession and depression, or any other regimes of economy. Second, we consider a company with surplus process $\{X_t\}_{t>0}$ and the uncertainty of the surplus process is characterized by a Brownian motion W and the markov chain defined above. We also assume that ϵ and W are independent and we denote by $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ the $\mathcal{P}$-augmentation of the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{(W,\epsilon)}\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by Brownian motion and markov chain, where $\mathcal{F}_t^{(W,\epsilon)} = \sigma\{W_s, \epsilon_s : 0 \leq s \leq t\}, t \geq 0$.

We suppose the company would conduct dividend strategy, we model the surplus of the company $X = \{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ by stochastic differential equation (SDE) :

$$dX_t = \mu(\epsilon_t)dt + \sigma(\epsilon_t)dW_t - dZ_t - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{\{\tau_n<t\}}\xi_n \tag{2.1}$$

with initial value $X_0 = x \geq 0$ and initial state $\epsilon(0) = i$, where the adapted process $Z = \{Z_t, t \geq 0\}$ and the sum of the sequence of the random variable $\{\xi_n\}_{n\in N}$ represent the cumulative amount of dividends paid out by the company up to time t.

Remark:

In contrast to some classical papers, our model consider a so-called hybrid dividend strategy, specifically, we assume that the company is allowed to carry out two sorts of dividend strategies: continuous dividend strategy and impulsive dividend strategy. In terms of realistic sense, hybrid strategy provides more ways of paying out dividends. In terms of methodology, such strategy is more complicated in treating than single strategy problem.

In this paper, we assume $\{Z_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is absolutely continuous, and let $dZ_t = u_t dt$. Further, we suppose dividend rate u_t is bounded, and we denote L as its bound.

Besides we define the stopping time of bankruptcy

$$\Theta := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t < 0\}$$

and impose $X_t = 0$ for $t \in [\Theta, \infty)$.

Definition 2.1. *A triple*

$$\pi := (u^\pi, \Gamma^\pi, \xi^\pi) = (u^\pi(t); \tau_1^\pi\, \tau_2^\pi, ...; \xi_1^\pi, \xi_2^\pi, ...)$$

is called an admissible control if and only if
(i) $u^\pi(t) : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, L]$ *is an* $\mathbb{F}$*-adapted bounded process,*
(ii) $\tau_i^\pi, i = 1, 2, ...$ *are stopping times with respect to* $\mathbb{F}$*, and*

$$0 \leq \tau_1^\pi < \tau_2^\pi < \cdots < \tau_n^\pi < \cdots, \quad a.s.$$

(iii) The random variables $\xi_i^\pi, i = 1, 2, ...$ *are* $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_i^\pi}$ *measurable and* $0 \leq \xi_i^\pi \leq X_{\tau_i^\pi}$,
(iv) For all $T \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{P}\big(\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau_n^\pi \leq T\big) = 0.$$

The set of all admissible controls is denoted by Π.

Suppose the utility function of the shareholder is given by $g(x)$, which belongs to the following class

$$\mathcal{G} := \Big\{ g(x) : \forall x \geq 0, \quad g(0) < 0, \quad g'(x) > 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad g''(x) < 0 \Big\}.$$

Let $\Theta^\pi = \inf\big\{t \geq 0 : X_t^\pi < 0\big\}$ be the ruin time under the policy π. We aim at choosing a hybrid dividend policy to maximize the expected total discounted dividend payments, then given initial surplus x and initial state i, with each admissible $\pi = \big(u^\pi, \Gamma^\pi, \xi^\pi\big)$, we have cost function

$$V_\pi(x, i) = E_{x,i}\Big\{ \int_0^{\Theta^\pi} e^{-\delta t} u_t^\pi dt + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\delta \tau_n^\pi} g(\xi_n^\pi) I_{\{\tau_n^\pi < \Theta^\pi\}} \Big\}.$$

Note that not only the continuous dividend but also the impulsive dividend are considered in our model, the cost function above should incorporate the two corresponding utilities. Then we can define the value function

$$V(x, i) = \sup_{\pi\in\Pi} V_\pi(x, i). \tag{2.2}$$

The optimal control $\hat{\pi} = \big(u^{\hat{\pi}}, \Gamma^{\hat{\pi}}, \xi^{\hat{\pi}}\big)$ is a strategy under which we have the equality below:

$$V(x, i) = V_{\hat{\pi}}(x, i). \tag{2.3}$$

For the need of the rest of the paper, we define

$$\mu^* := \max_{i\in\mathbb{J}} \big\{\mu_i\big\} \quad \textit{and} \quad \mu_* := \min_{i\in\mathbb{J}} \big\{\mu_i\big\},$$

and a technical assumption(H) is made:

$$(H) \quad L < \mu_*,$$

that is, at each regime the bound of the dividend rate is smaller than the drift of the surplus.

Now we derive some properties of the value function.

Lemma 2.2. *Given $g \in \mathcal{G}$ is a utility function, then for each $i \in \mathbb{J}$, the value function satisfy :*

$$V(x,i) \leq g'(0+)\big[x + \frac{\mu^*}{\delta}\big] + \frac{L}{\delta},$$

where $\mu^* = \max_{i\in\mathbb{J}}\{\mu_i\}$.

Proof. For each $\pi \in \Pi$

$$V_\pi(x,i) = E_{x,i}\Big\{\int_0^{\Theta^\pi} e^{-\delta t}u_t^\pi dt + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\delta\tau_n^\pi} g(\xi_n^\pi) I_{\{\tau_n^\pi < \Theta^\pi\}}\Big\}.$$

First, we have

$$E_{x,i}\Big\{\int_0^{\Theta^\pi} e^{-\delta t}u_t^\pi dt\Big\} \leq E_{x,i}\Big\{\int_0^{\Theta^\pi} e^{-\delta t}Lds\Big\} = \frac{L}{\delta}E_{x,i}\Big\{1 - e^{-\delta\Theta^\pi}\Big\} \leq \frac{L}{\delta}.$$

Next in virtue of $g(x) \leq g'(0+)x$, and for each $\pi \in \Pi$, let D_t be the accumulated dividends process, and let $dD_t = 0$ for $t \geq \Theta^\pi$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{x,i}\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\delta\tau_n^\pi} g(\xi_n^\pi) I_{\{\tau_n^\pi<\Theta^\pi\}}\} &\leq g'(0+)E_{x,i}\Big\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\delta\tau_n^\pi}\xi_n^\pi I_{\{\tau_n^\pi<\Theta^\pi\}}\Big\} \\
&= g'(0+)E_{x,i}\Big\{\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta s}dD_s\Big\} \\
&= g'(0+)E_{x,i}\Big\{\int_0^{\infty} \delta e^{-\delta s}D_s ds\Big\} \\
&\leq g'(0+)E_{x,i}\Big\{\int_0^{\infty} \delta e^{-\delta s}(x+\mu^* s) ds\Big\} \\
&= g'(0+)\big(x + \frac{\mu^*}{\delta}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu^* = \max_{i\in\mathbb{J}}\{\mu_i\}$. Then we have

$$V(x,i) \leq g'(0+)\big[x + \frac{\mu^*}{\delta}\big] + \frac{L}{\delta}.$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Given $g \in \mathcal{G}$ is a utility function, then for each $i \in \mathbb{J}$ and $0 \leq x_1 < x_2$, the value function satisfy :*

$$V(x_2,i) - V(x_1,i) \geq g\big(x_2 - x_1\big).$$

Proof. This proof is similar to the one in Wei et al. [14], we omit it. □

3 Quasi-Variational Inequalities

Inspired by the work of Cadenillas et al. [5], we introduce the quasi-variational inequalities(QVI). First for every continuous function $\phi : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and given state $i \in \mathbb{J}$, we define the maximum operator $\mathcal{M}$ by

$$\mathcal{M}\phi(x, i) := \sup\Big\{\phi(x - u, i) + g(u) : u \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < u < x\Big\}. \tag{3.1}$$

$\mathcal{M}V(x, i)$ represents the value of the policy that consists of choosing the best immediate intervention. Obviously we have

$$V(x, i) \geq \mathcal{M}V(x, i),$$

and if

$$V(x, i) > \mathcal{M}V(x, i),$$

this means x is the position where it is not optimal to choose to pay block dividend, while if

$$V(x, i) = \mathcal{M}V(x, i),$$

this implies x is the position where it is optimal to pay block dividend. Now let us introduce operator $\mathcal{L}$, given $i \in \mathbb{J}$, define:

$$\mathcal{L}_i(u)\phi(x, i) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(i)\phi''(x, i) + \big[\mu(i) - u\big]\phi'(x, i) - \delta\phi(x, i) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_{i,j}\phi(x, j).$$

Definition 3.1. *A function $\nu : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$ satisfies the quasi-variational inequalities of the control problem if for every $x \in [0, \infty)$, $i \in \mathbb{J}$ and $u \in [0, L]$*

$$\mathcal{L}_i(u)\nu(x, i) + u \leq 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\nu(x, i) \geq \mathcal{M}\nu(x, i), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\big(\nu(x, i) - \mathcal{M}\nu(x, i)\big)\big(\max_{u\in[0,L]}\Big\{\mathcal{L}_i(u)\nu(x, i) + u\Big\}\big) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

It is easy to observe that the solution of the QVI splits the interval $(0, \infty)$ into two disjoint regions:

(i) Continuation region

$$\mathcal{C} := \Big\{x \in (0, \infty) : \nu(x) > \mathcal{M}\nu(x) \quad \textit{and} \quad \max_{u\in[0,L]}\big\{\mathcal{L}_i(u)\nu(x, i) + u\big\} = 0\Big\}.$$

(ii) Intervention region

$$\Sigma := \Big\{ x \in (0,\infty) : \nu(x) = \mathcal{M}\nu(x) \quad and \quad \max_{u\in[0,L]} \big\{ \mathcal{L}_i(u)\nu(x,i) + u \big\} \le 0 \Big\}.$$

It is obvious that continuation region is an open set and intervention region is a closed set. Given a solution ν to the QVI, we define the following strategy associated with this solution.

Definition 3.2. *The control* $\pi^\nu = \big(u^\nu, \Gamma^\nu, \xi^\nu\big) = \big(u^\nu(t); \tau_1^\nu\, \tau_2^\nu, ...; \xi_1^\nu, \xi_2^\nu, ...\big)$ *is called the QVI control associated with* ν *if for each* $i \in \mathbb{J}$ *the associated state process* X^ν *given by* (2.1) *satisfies*

$$\mathcal{P}\Big\{ u^\nu(t) \neq arg\big(\max_{u\in[0,L]} \big\{ \mathcal{L}_i(u)\nu(X_t^\nu, i) + u \big\} \big), \quad X_t^\nu \in \mathcal{C} \Big\} = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

$$\tau_1^\nu := \inf \Big\{ t \ge 0 : \nu(X_t^\nu, i) = \mathcal{M}\nu(X_t^\nu, i) \Big\}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\xi_1^\nu := arg \sup_{\eta>0, \eta\le X_{\tau_1^\nu}^\nu} \Big\{ \nu(X^\nu(\tau_1^\nu) - \eta, i) + g(\eta) \Big\}, \tag{3.7}$$

and for each $n \ge 2$,

$$\tau_n^\nu := \inf \Big\{ t \ge \tau_{n-1} : \nu(X_t^\nu, i) = \mathcal{M}\nu(X_t^\nu, i) \Big\}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\xi_n^\nu := arg \sup_{\eta>0, \eta\le X_{\tau_n^\nu}^\nu} \Big\{ \nu(X^\nu(\tau_n^\nu) - \eta, i) + g(\eta) \Big\}, \tag{3.9}$$

with $\tau_0^\nu := 0$ *and* $\xi_0^\nu := 0$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $\nu(\cdot, i) \in C^1\big([0,\infty)\big)$, $i \in \mathbb{J}$, *and* $\nu(\cdot, i) \in C^2\big([0,\infty)/\mathcal{N}_i\big)$, $i \in \mathbb{J}$, *where* $\mathcal{N}_i$ *is finite subset of* $(0,\infty)$, *satisfies QVI* (3.2)-(3.4) *with* $\nu(0,i) = 0, i \in \mathbb{J}$. *Assume there exists constant* U_i, $0 < U_i < \infty$, $i \in \mathbb{J}$ *such that* $\nu(x,i)$ *is linear on* $[U_i, \infty)$, *then for every* $x \in (0,\infty)$ *and each* $i \in \mathbb{J}$

$$V(x,i) \le \nu(x,i).$$

Further, if the QVI control $\pi^\nu = \big(u^\nu, \Gamma^\nu, \xi^\nu\big)$ *associated with* ν *is admissible, then* ν *coincides with the value function and the QVI control associated with* ν *is the optimal policy , i.e.,*

$$V(x,i) = \nu(x,i) = V_{\pi^\nu}(x,i).$$

Proof. Let $\pi = \big(u^\pi, \Gamma^\pi, \xi^\pi\big)$ is an admissible control, and $\tau_0^\pi := 0$, $\xi_0^\pi := 0$ then for every $t \in [0,\infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-\delta(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)}\nu\big(X_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)}, \epsilon_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)}\big) - \nu(x,i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Big\{ e^{-\delta(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)}\nu\big(X_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi-)}, \epsilon_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)}\big) - e^{-\delta(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi)}\nu\big(X_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi)}, \epsilon_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi)}\big) \Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{\tau_i^\pi \le t\wedge\Theta^\pi\}} e^{-\delta\tau_i^\pi}\big[\nu(X_{\tau_i^\pi},\epsilon_{\tau_i^\pi}) - \nu(X_{\tau_i^\pi-},\epsilon_{\tau_i^\pi})\big].$$

Since $\nu(\cdot,i)\in C^1\big([0,\infty)\big)$ is twice continuously differentiable on $(0,\infty)$ with a possible exception of the finite point set $\mathcal{N}$, by Ito formula (see Karatzas [8])

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\delta(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)}\nu(X_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)},\epsilon_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)}) - \nu(x,i)\\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\Big\{\int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi,\,t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)} e^{-\delta s}\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon_s}(u)\nu(X_s,\epsilon_s)ds + \int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi,\,t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)} e^{-\delta s}\nu_x(X_s,\epsilon_s)\sigma(\epsilon_s)dW_s\\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi,\,t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)} e^{-\delta s}[\nu(X_s,j)-\nu(X_s,\epsilon_s)]d\bar{N}_s^j\Big\}\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{\tau_i^\pi\le t\wedge\Theta^\pi\}} e^{-\delta\tau_i^\pi}\big[\nu(X_{\tau_i^\pi},\epsilon_{\tau_i^\pi}) - \nu(X_{\tau_i^\pi-},\epsilon_{\tau_i^\pi})\big],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{N}_s$ is compensate poisson process. In virtue of QVI we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\delta(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)}\nu(X_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)},\epsilon_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)}) - \nu(x,i)\\
\le & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\Big\{\int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi,\,t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)} e^{-\delta s}\nu_x(X_s,\epsilon_s)\sigma(\epsilon_s)dW_s - \int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi,\,t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)} e^{-\delta s}u_s ds\\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi,\,t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)} e^{-\delta s}[\nu(X_s,j)-\nu(X_s,\epsilon_s)]d\bar{N}_s^j\Big\}\\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{\tau_i^\pi\le t\wedge\Theta^\pi\}} e^{-\delta\tau_i^\pi} g(\xi_i^\pi).
\end{aligned}$$

This inequality becomes an equality for the QVI control associated with ν. Taking expectation

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{x,i}\Big\{e^{-\delta(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)}\nu(X_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)},\epsilon_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)}) - \nu(x,i)\Big\}\\
\le & E_{x,i}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\{\int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi,\,t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)} e^{-\delta s}\nu_x(X_s,\epsilon_s)\sigma(\epsilon_s)dW_s - \int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi,\,t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)} e^{-\delta s}u_s ds\\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_{i-1}^\pi,\,t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_i^\pi)} e^{-\delta s}[\nu(X_s,j)-\nu(X_s,\epsilon_s)]d\bar{N}_s^j\}\\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{\tau_i^\pi\le t\wedge\Theta^\pi\}} e^{-\delta\tau_i^\pi} g(\xi_i^\pi)\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\nu(x,i)\in C^1\big([0,U_i)\big)$, $\nu(x,i)$ is bounded on the interval $[0,U_i)$. On the other hand $\nu(x,i)$ is linear on $[U_i,\infty)$, then combining with the condition $P\big(\lim_{n\to\infty}\tau_n\le T\big)=0$ and dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big\{E_{x,i}\big[e^{-\delta(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)}\nu(X_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)},\epsilon_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau_n^\pi)})\big] - \nu(x,i)\Big\}$$

$$= E_{x,i}\big[e^{-\delta(t\wedge\theta^\pi)}\nu(X_{t\wedge\theta^\pi},\epsilon_{t\wedge\theta^\pi})\big] - \nu(x,i),$$

and

$$E_{x,i}\Big\{\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau^\pi_{i-1},t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau^\pi_i)} e^{-\delta s}[\nu(X_s,j)-\nu(X_s,\epsilon_s)]d\bar{N}^j_s\Big\} = 0.$$

Since $\nu(x,i)\in C^1\big([0,U_i)\big)$ and it's linear on $[U_i,\infty)$, we know $\nu_x(x,i)$ is bounded on $[0,U_i]$ and $\nu_x(x,i)$ is constant in the interval $[U_i,\infty)$, then we get

$$E_{x,i}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau^\pi_{i-1},t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau^\pi_i)} e^{-\delta s}\nu_x(X_s,\epsilon_s)\sigma(\epsilon_s)dW_s\Big\} = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_{x,i}\Big[e^{-\delta(t\wedge\Theta^\pi)}\nu(X_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi)},\epsilon_{(t\wedge\Theta^\pi)})\Big] - \nu(x,i)\\
&\le E_{x,i}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\big[-I_{\{\tau^\pi_i\le t\wedge\Theta^\pi\}}e^{-\delta\tau^\pi_i}g(\xi^\pi_i) - \int_{[t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau^\pi_{i-1},t\wedge\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau^\pi_i)} e^{-\delta s}u_s ds\big]\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we let $t\longrightarrow\infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_{x,i}\big[e^{-\delta\Theta^\pi}\nu(X_{\Theta^\pi},\epsilon_{\Theta^\pi})\big] - \nu(x,i)\\
&\le E_{x,i}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}[-I_{\{\tau^\pi_i\le\Theta^\pi\}}e^{-\delta\tau^\pi_i}g(\xi^\pi_i) - \int_{[\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau^\pi_{i-1},\Theta^\pi\wedge\tau^\pi_i)} e^{-\delta s}u_s ds]\Big\}\\
&= -E_{x,i}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\big[I_{\{\tau^\pi_i\le\Theta^\pi\}}e^{-\delta\tau^\pi_i}g(\xi^\pi_i) + \int_0^{\Theta^\pi} e^{-\delta s}u_s ds\big]\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\nu(x,i)\ge E_{x,i}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}I_{\{\tau^\pi_i\le\Theta^\pi\}}e^{-\delta\tau^\pi_i}g(\xi^\pi_i) + \int_0^{\Theta^\pi} e^{-\delta s}u_s ds\Big\},$$

where $\nu(X_{\Theta^\pi},\epsilon_{\Theta^\pi}) = \nu(0,\epsilon_{\Theta^\pi}) = 0$. Thus we have

$$\nu(x,i)\ge\sup_{\pi\in\Pi}E_{x,i}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}I_{\{\tau^\pi_i\le\Theta^\pi\}}e^{-\delta\tau^\pi_i}g(\xi^\pi_i) + \int_0^{\Theta^\pi} e^{-\delta s}u_s ds\Big\} = V(x,i).$$

And the inequality becomes an equality for the QVI control associated with ν. □

4 The Solution of The QVI and The Optimal Policy

In this section we set out to solve the QVI (3.2)-(3.4), and then verify that the solution satisfies the theorem 3.3. We let $g(x) = x-K$, K represents the fixed cost. Note that different from Cadenillas

et al. [5] and Wei et al. [14], in which they consider the fixed cost K as well as the proportional cost k, i.e. let $g(x) = kx - K$. In this paper we consider the fixed cost only, in fact we will see in the following that taking the proportional cost into account is nothing but more tedious classification and calculation. Our essential method and verification can be fully illustrated by the simpler model.

Now we want to find a function $\nu(x,i), i \in \mathbb{J}$ as a candidate for the value function and verify the candidate satisfies the condition of theorem 3.3. For such function $\nu(x,i)$, define

$$B_i := \inf\left\{x \geq 0 : \nu(x,i) = \mathcal{M}\nu(x,i), \quad i \in \mathbb{J}\right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

We conjecture $\nu(x,i)$, $i \in \mathbb{J}$ satisfied QVI to be continuously differentiable function, and on the interval $[0, B_i]$ function $\nu'(x,i)$, $i \in \mathbb{J}$ is convex. In addition, we assume $\nu(0,i) = 0$, $i \in \mathbb{J}$. Note we will prove later these conjectures are satisfied .

Obviously the assumption of convexity of $\nu'(x,i), i \in \mathbb{J}$ implies that the equation

$$\nu'(x,i) = 1, \qquad i \in \mathbb{J}, \tag{4.2}$$

has at most two roots on $[0, B_i]$. Indeed the proposition below tells us that E.q.(4.2) do exist only one root in the interval $[0, B_i)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let B_i, $i \in \mathbb{J}$ be defined by (4.1). $\nu(x,i)$, $i \in \mathbb{J}$ is continuously differentiable function and $\nu'(x,i)$, $i \in \mathbb{J}$ is convex on $[0, B_i)$. Then there exists only one root $b_i \in [0, B_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{J}$ of the equation*

$$\nu'(x,i) = 1, \qquad i \in \mathbb{J}.$$

Moreover if the solution to QVI is unique, then for $x \geq B_i$:

$$\nu(x,i) = \nu(b_i,i) + x - b_i + K.$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to Theorem 3.2 in Cadenillas et al. [5], so we do not repeat it here. □

From the analysis above we know under assumption in theorem 4.1, the continuation region is given by $\mathcal{C}_i = [0, B_i)$, and when the surplus exceeds level B_i, dividend will be paid such that the surplus jumps to $b_i \in [0, B_i)$. Concretely, when $x \in \mathcal{C}_i = [0, B_i)$ we have

$$\max_{u \in [0,L]}\left\{\mathcal{L}_i(u)\nu(x,i) + u\right\} = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

that is

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(i)\nu''(x,i)+\mu(i)\nu'(x,i)-\delta\nu(x,i)+\max_{u\in[0,L]}\Big\{u\big(1-\nu'(x,i)\big)\Big\}=-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\lambda_{i,j}\nu(x,j). \tag{4.4}$$

Thus, if $v'(X_t,\epsilon_t)\le 1$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(i)\nu''(x,i)+\mu(i)\nu'(x,i)-\delta\nu(x,i)+L\big(1-\nu'(x,i)\big)=-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\lambda_{i,j}\nu(x,j), \tag{4.5}$$

if $v'(X_t,\epsilon_t)\ge 1$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(i)\nu''(x,i)+\mu(i)\nu'(x,i)-\delta\nu(x,i)=-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\lambda_{i,j}\nu(x,j). \tag{4.6}$$

When $x\in\Sigma_i=[B_i,\infty)$, we have

$$\nu(x,i)=\nu(b_i,i)+x-b_i+K. \tag{4.7}$$

Note in this case $\nu'(x,i)=1$.

After making a simple analysis we can see that under the assumption above, $\nu'(0,i)\ge 0$, $i\in\mathbb{J}$ established. Indeed we have $\nu'(B_i,i)=1$, $i\in\mathbb{J}$ and for some $b_i\in[0,B_i)$, $\nu'(b_i,i)=1$, $i\in\mathbb{J}$, then combining with the convexity of $\nu(x,i)$, $i\in\mathbb{J}$, we know $\nu'(0,i)<1$ is impossible. Therefore there are two cases have to be considered :

case 1: $\nu'(0,i)>1$, $i\in\mathbb{J}$.

In this case, interval $[0,\infty)$ can be split into three sections : $[0,b_i)$, $[b_i,B_i)$, $[B_i,\infty)$.
(1) When $x\in[0,b_i)$, $\nu'(x,i)>1$. E.q.(4.6) gives the following differential equations:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(i)\nu''(x,i)+\mu(i)\nu'(x,i)-\delta\nu(x,i)=-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\lambda_{i,j}\nu(x,j). \tag{4.8}$$

(2)When $x\in[b_i,B_i)$, $\nu'(x,i)\le 1$. E.q.(4.5) gives the following differential equations:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(i)\nu''(x,i)+\mu(i)\nu'(x,i)-\delta\nu(x,i)+L\big(1-\nu'(x,i)\big)=-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\lambda_{i,j}\nu(x,j). \tag{4.9}$$

(3) When $x\in[B_i,\infty)$

$$\nu(x,i)=\nu(b_i,i)+x-b_i+K. \tag{4.10}$$

case 2: $\nu'(0,i)=1$, $i\in\mathbb{J}$.

In this case, interval $[0,\infty)$ can be split into two sections : $[0,B_i)$, $[B_i,\infty)$.
(1)When $x\in[0,B_i)$, $\nu'(x,i)\leq 1$ E.q.(4.5) gives the following differential equations:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(i)\nu''(x,i)+\mu(i)\nu'(x,i)-\delta\nu(x,i)+L\big(1-\nu'(x,i)\big)=-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\lambda_{i,j}\nu(x,j). \tag{4.11}$$

(2) When $x\in[B_i,\infty)$

$$\nu(x,i)=\nu(b_i,i)+x-b_i+K=x-K, \tag{4.12}$$

since $\nu(b_i,i)=\nu(0,i)=0$.

For simplicity, we suppose in the remainder of this section that the economy changes only between two regimes, that is $\mathbb{J}=\{1,2\}$. Combining with the analysis above, we have three possible cases: $\nu'(0,i)>1$ for both $i\in\mathbb{J}$; $\nu'(0,i_0)=1$ and $\nu'(0,3-i_0)=1$ for some $i_0\in\{1,2\}$; and $\nu'(0,i)=1$ for both $i\in\mathbb{J}$.

In the following, we need a lemma , which is cited from [13].

Lemma 4.2. *For $i\in\mathbb{J}$, consider the real function $\phi_i(z)=-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2z^2-\bar{\mu}_iz+(\lambda_i+\delta)$ where $\bar{\mu}_i$ is a function of μ_i. Since σ_1, σ_2, λ_1 and λ_2 are positive, the equation $\phi_1(z)\phi_2(z)=\lambda_1\lambda_2$ has four real roots such that $z_1<z_2<0<z_3<z_4$.*

According to our conjecture about $\nu'(x,i)$, $i\in\{1,2\}$, there exist two thresholds $b_i<B_i$, $i\in\{1,2\}$ such that $\nu'(b_i,i)=\nu'(B_i,i)=1$, $i\in\{1,2\}$. We should note that the relationship of b_i and B_i, $i\in\{1,2\}$, depends on the relations of the parameters in model. Here, we only consider two cases : $b_1\leq b_2<B_1\leq B_2$ and $b_1<B_1<b_2<B_2$, that is we discuss the optimization problem among models of which parameters satisfy certain relation such that we have $b_1\leq b_2<B_1\leq B_2$ and $b_1<B_1<b_2<B_2$. For the case of $b_2\leq b_1<B_2\leq B_1$, $b_2<B_2<b_1<B_1$, $b_1\leq b_2<B_2\leq B_1$ and $b_2\leq b_1<B_1\leq B_2$, they can be treated in a similar way.

4.1 The case of $b_1\leq b_2<B_1\leq B_2$

In this subsection we assume $b_1\leq b_2<B_1\leq B_2$. Considering the relationship between $\nu'(0,i)$, $i\in\{1,2\}$ and 1, we have three cases, $\nu'(0,i)>1$ for both $i=1,2$; $\nu'(0,i_0)=1$ and $\nu'(0,3-i_0)>1$ for some $i_0\in\{1,2\}$; and $\nu'(0,i)=1$ for both $i=1,2$.

Case 1: $\nu'(0,1)>1$ and $\nu'(0,2)>1$.

According to the discussion above, we need to consider five possibilities: $x \in [0, b_1)$; $x \in [b_1, b_2)$; $x \in [b_2, B_1)$; $x \in [B_1, B_2)$ and $x \in [B_2, \infty)$.

When $x \in [0, b_1)$, we have $\nu'(x,1) > 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) > 1$, E.q.(4.6) gives the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(1)\nu''(x,1) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,1) - \delta\nu(x,1) = \lambda_1\nu(x,1) - \lambda_1\nu(x,2), \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1), \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

where $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_{11}$, $\lambda_2 = -\lambda_{22}$. Consider the characteristic equation for (4.13), $\phi_1^1(\dot{\alpha})\phi_2^1(\dot{\alpha}) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$, where $\phi_i^1(\dot{\alpha}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2\dot{\alpha}^2 - \mu_i\dot{\alpha} + (\lambda_i + \delta)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Lemma 4.2 proves that $\phi_1^1(\dot{\alpha})\phi_2^1(\dot{\alpha}) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$ has four real roots: $\dot{\alpha}_1 < \dot{\alpha}_2 < 0 < \dot{\alpha}_3 < \dot{\alpha}_4$. Then the solution of the E.q.(4.13) is

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \dot{A}_1 e^{\dot{\alpha}_1 x} + \dot{A}_2 e^{\dot{\alpha}_2 x} + \dot{A}_3 e^{\dot{\alpha}_3 x} + \dot{A}_4 e^{\dot{\alpha}_4 x}, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \dot{B}_1 e^{\dot{\alpha}_1 x} + \dot{B}_2 e^{\dot{\alpha}_2 x} + \dot{B}_3 e^{\dot{\alpha}_3 x} + \dot{B}_4 e^{\dot{\alpha}_4 x}, \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

where for each $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\dot{B}_j = \frac{\phi_1^1(\dot{\alpha}_j)}{\lambda_1}\dot{A}_j = \frac{\lambda_2}{\phi_2^1(\dot{\alpha}_j)}\dot{A}_j. \tag{4.15}$$

When $x \in [b_1, b_2)$, we have $\nu'(x,1) \leq 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) > 1$, E.q.(4.6),(4.5) give the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(1)\nu''(x,1) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,1) - \delta\nu(x,1) + L\big(1 - \nu'(x,1)\big) = \lambda_1\nu(x,1) - \lambda_1\nu(x,2), \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1). \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

Consider the characteristic equation for (4.16), $\phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha})\phi_2^2(\widetilde{\alpha}) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$, where $\phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\widetilde{\alpha}^2 - (\mu_1 - L)\widetilde{\alpha} + (\lambda_1 + \delta)$, $\phi_2^2(\widetilde{\alpha}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2\widetilde{\alpha}^2 - \mu_1\widetilde{\alpha} + (\lambda_2 + \delta)$. Lemma 4.2 proves that $\phi_1^2(\alpha)\phi_2^2(\alpha) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$ has four real roots: $\widetilde{\alpha}_1 < \widetilde{\alpha}_2 < 0 < \widetilde{\alpha}_3 < \widetilde{\alpha}_4$. Then the solution of the E.q.(4.16) is

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \widetilde{A}_1 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1(x-b_2)} + \widetilde{A}_2 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2(x-b_2)} + \widetilde{A}_3 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3(x-b_2)} + \widetilde{A}_4 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4(x-b_2)} + F_1, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \widetilde{B}_1 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1(x-b_2)} + \widetilde{B}_2 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2(x-b_2)} + \widetilde{B}_3 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3(x-b_2)} + \widetilde{B}_4 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4(x-b_2)} + F_2, \end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

where $F_i := \frac{(\lambda_2+(2-i)\delta)L}{(\lambda_i+\delta)(\lambda_2+\delta)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}$, $i = 1, 2$ and for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\widetilde{B}_j = \frac{\phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_j)}{\lambda_1}\widetilde{A}_j = \frac{\lambda_2}{\phi_2^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_j)}\widetilde{A}_j. \tag{4.18}$$

When $x \in [b_2, B_1)$, we have $\nu'(x, 1) < 1$ and $\nu'(x, 2) \leq 1$. E.q.(4.5) gives the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(1)\nu''(x,1) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,1) - \delta\nu(x,1) + L\big(1-\nu'(x,1)\big) = \lambda_1\nu(x,1) - \lambda_1\nu(x,2), \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(2)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) + L\big(1-\nu'(x,2)\big) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1). \end{cases} \tag{4.19}$$

Consider the characteristic equation for (4.19), $\phi_1^3(\hat{\alpha})\phi_2^3(\hat{\alpha}) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$, where $\phi_i^3(\hat{\alpha}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2\hat{\alpha}^2 - (\mu_i - L)\hat{\alpha} + (\lambda_i + \delta)$, $i = 1, 2$. Lemma 4.2 proves that $\phi_1^3(\alpha)\phi_2^3(\alpha) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$ has four real roots: $\hat{\alpha}_1 < \hat{\alpha}_2 < 0 < \hat{\alpha}_3 < \hat{\alpha}_4$. Then the solution of the E.q.(4.19) is

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \hat{A}_1 e^{\hat{\alpha}_1(x-B_1)} + \hat{A}_2 e^{\hat{\alpha}_2(x-B_1)} + \hat{A}_3 e^{\hat{\alpha}_3(x-B_1)} + \hat{A}_4 e^{\hat{\alpha}_4(x-B_1)} + \frac{L}{\delta}, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \hat{B}_1 e^{\hat{\alpha}_1(x-B_1)} + \hat{B}_2 e^{\hat{\alpha}_2(x-B_1)} + \hat{B}_3 e^{\hat{\alpha}_3(x-B_1)} + \hat{B}_4 e^{\hat{\alpha}_4(x-B_1)} + \frac{L}{\delta}, \end{cases} \tag{4.20}$$

and for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\hat{B}_j = \frac{\phi_1^3(\hat{\alpha}_j)}{\lambda_1}\hat{A}_j = \frac{\lambda_2}{\phi_2^3(\hat{\alpha}_j)}\hat{A}_j. \tag{4.21}$$

When $x \in [B_1, B_2)$, we have $\nu'(x, 1) = 1$ and $\nu'(x, 2) < 1$. E.q.(4.5),(4.7) give the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K, \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(2)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) + L\big(1-\nu'(x,2)\big) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1), \end{cases} \tag{4.22}$$

where $\nu(b_1, 1)$ can be calculated by E.q.(4.17). Consider the characteristic equation for the second equation above, $\phi^4(\breve{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2\breve{\alpha}^2 + (\mu_2 - L)\breve{\alpha} - (\lambda_2 + \delta) = 0$. It has two real roots: $\breve{\alpha}_1 < \breve{\alpha}_2$, then the solution of the ODE is:

$$\nu(x,2) = \breve{B}_1 e^{\breve{\alpha}_1(x-B_2)} + \breve{B}_2 e^{\breve{\alpha}_2(x-B_2)} + U(x),$$

where $U(x) = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta}x + \frac{1}{\lambda_2+\delta}\Big\{(\mu_2 - L)\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta} + L + \lambda_2\big[\nu(b_1,1) - b_1 - K\big]\Big\}$. Then the E.q.(4.22) has solution:

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \breve{B}_1 e^{\breve{\alpha}_1(x-B_2)} + \breve{B}_2 e^{\breve{\alpha}_2(x-B_2)} + U(x). \end{cases} \tag{4.23}$$

When $x \in [B_2, \infty)$. From the equation (4.7), we have

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \nu(b_2,2) + x - b_2 - K, \end{cases} \tag{4.24}$$

where $\nu(b_1,1)$ and $\nu(b_2,2)$ can be calculated by E.q.(4.17) and E.q.(4.20) respectively.

In order to find the thresholds b_1, b_2, B_1, B_2, and the coefficients $\dot{A}_1, \dot{A}_2, \dot{A}_3, \dot{A}_4$, $\widetilde{A}_1, \widetilde{A}_2, \widetilde{A}_3, \widetilde{A}_4$, $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3, \hat{A}_4$, $\breve{B}_1, \breve{B}_2$. We suppose the smooth fit condition hold, and combined with $\nu'(b_i, i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have the equations following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu(0,1) = 0, \quad \nu(0,2) = 0, \quad \nu(b_1+,1) = \nu(b_1-,1), \quad \nu(b_1+,2) = \nu(b_1-,2) \\ &\nu'(b_1+,1) = 1, \quad \nu'(b_1-,1) = 1, \quad \nu'(b_1+,2) = \nu'(b_1-,2), \quad \nu(b_2+,1) = \nu(b_2-,1) \\ &\nu(b_2+,2) = \nu(b_2-,2), \quad \nu'(b_2+,1) = \nu'(b_2-,1), \quad \nu'(b_2+,2) = 1, \quad \nu'(b_2-,2) = 1 \\ &\nu(B_1+,1) = \nu(B_1-,1), \quad \nu(B_1+,2) = \nu(B_1-,2), \quad \nu'(B_1+,2) = \nu'(B_1-,2), \quad \nu'(B_1-,1) = 1 \\ &\nu(B_2+,2) = \nu(B_2-,2), \quad \nu'(B_2-,2) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Meanwhile the coefficients $\dot{B}_1, \dot{B}_2, \dot{B}_3, \dot{B}_4$, $\widetilde{B}_1, \widetilde{B}_2, \widetilde{B}_3, \widetilde{B}_4$, $\hat{B}_1, \hat{B}_2, \hat{B}_3, \hat{B}_4$ can be obtained from equation (4.15),(4.18),(4.21).

Note that we assume $\nu'(0,1) > 1$ and $\nu'(0,2) > 1$. This condition is satisfied if and only if the coefficients found through the system of E.q.(4.14) satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{A}_1\dot{\alpha}_1 + \dot{A}_2\dot{\alpha}_2 + \dot{A}_3\dot{\alpha}_3 + \dot{A}_4\dot{\alpha}_4 > 1, \\ \dot{A}_1\varphi_1^1(\dot{\alpha}_1)\alpha_1 + \dot{A}_2\varphi_1^1(\dot{\alpha}_2)\alpha_2 + \dot{A}_3\varphi_1^1(\dot{\alpha}_3)\alpha_3 + \dot{A}_4\varphi_1^1(\dot{\alpha}_4)\alpha_4 > \lambda_1. \end{cases} \tag{4.26}$$

Case 2: For some $i_0 \in \{1, 2\}$ $\nu'(0, i_0) = 1$, $\nu'(0, 3 - i_0) > 1$.

Without loss of generality we assume $i_0 = 1$, we have $\nu'(0,1) = 1$, $\nu'(0,2) > 1$. The case of $i_0 = 2$ has the similar treatment. Under this assumption,we have $b_1 = 0$ and we need to consider four possibilities: $x \in [0, b_2)$; $x \in [b_2, B_1)$; $x \in [B_1, B_2)$ and $x \in [B_2, \infty)$.

When $x \in [0, b_2)$, $\nu'(x,1) \leq 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) > 1$, we have the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(1)\nu''(x,1) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,1) - \delta\nu(x,1) + L\big(1 - \nu'(x,1)\big) = \lambda_1\nu(x,1) - \lambda_1\nu(x,2), \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1). \end{cases} \tag{4.27}$$

Consider the characteristic equation for (4.27), $\phi_1^2(\theta)\phi_2^2(\theta) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$, where ϕ_1^2 and ϕ_2^2 have been defined in case 1, and by the similar way we know the solution of the E.q.(4.27) is

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = C_1 e^{\theta_1 x} + C_2 e^{\theta_2 x} + C_3 e^{\theta_3 x} + C_4 e^{\theta_4 x} + F_1, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = D_1 e^{\theta_1 x} + D_2 e^{\theta_2 x} + D_3 e^{\theta_3 x} + D_4 e^{\theta_4 x} + F_2, \end{cases} \tag{4.28}$$

where $F_i := \frac{(\lambda_2 + (2-i)\delta)L}{(\lambda_i + \delta)(\lambda_2 + \delta) - \lambda_1\lambda_2}$, $i = 1, 2$ and for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$D_j = \frac{\phi_1^2(\theta_j)}{\lambda_1} C_j = \frac{\lambda_2}{\phi_2^2(\theta_j)} C_j, \tag{4.29}$$

where $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < 0 < \theta_3 < \theta_4$ are characteristic roots.

When $x \in [b_2, B_1)$, $\nu'(x,1) < 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) \leq 1$, we have the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(1)\nu''(x,1) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,1) - \delta\nu(x,1) + L\big(1 - \nu'(x,1)\big) = \lambda_1\nu(x,1) - \lambda_1\nu(x,2), \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(2)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) + L\big(1 - \nu'(x,2)\big) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1). \end{cases} \tag{4.30}$$

Considering the characteristic equation for (4.30), $\phi_1^3(\widetilde{\theta})\phi_2^3(\widetilde{\theta}) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$, where ϕ_1^3 and ϕ_2^3 have been defined in the previous section, and by the similar way we know the solution of the E.q.(4.30) is

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \widetilde{C}_1 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_1(x - B_1)} + \widetilde{C}_2 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_2(x - B_1)} + \widetilde{C}_3 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_3(x - B_1)} + \widetilde{C}_4 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_4(x - B_1)} + \frac{L}{\delta}, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \widetilde{D}_1 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_1(x - B_1)} + \widetilde{D}_2 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_2(x - B_1)} + \widetilde{D}_3 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_3(x - B_1)} + \widetilde{D}_4 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_4(x - B_1)} + \frac{L}{\delta}, \end{cases} \tag{4.31}$$

and for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\widetilde{D}_j = \frac{\phi_1^3(\widetilde{\theta}_j)}{\lambda_1} \widetilde{C}_j = \frac{\lambda_2}{\phi_2^3(\widetilde{\theta}_j)} \widetilde{C}_j. \tag{4.32}$$

When $x \in [B_1, B_2)$, $\nu'(x,1) = 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) \leq 1$, we have the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = x - K, \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(2)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) + L\big(1 - \nu'(x,2)\big) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1). \end{cases} \tag{4.33}$$

Consider the characteristic equation for the second equation above, $\phi^4(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2\hat{\theta}^2 + (\mu_2 - L)\hat{\theta} - (\lambda_2 + \delta) = 0$. It has two real roots: $\hat{\theta}_1 < \hat{\theta}_2$, then the solution of the ODE is:

$$\nu(x,2) = \hat{D}_1 e^{\hat{\theta}_1(x - B_2)} + \hat{D}_2 e^{\hat{\theta}_2(x - B_2)} + U(x),$$

where $U(x)$ has been defined in the previous section, that is

$$U(x) = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 + \delta}x + \frac{1}{\lambda_2 + \delta}\left\{(\mu_2 - L)\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 + \delta} + L + \lambda_2\big[\nu(b_1,1) - b_1 - K\big]\right\}.$$

When $x \in [B_2, \infty)$, from the equation (4.7), we have

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = x - K, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \nu(b_2,2) + x - b_2 - K, \end{cases} \tag{4.34}$$

where $\nu(b_2,2)$ can be calculated by E.q.(4.31).

In order to find the thresholds b_2, B_1, B_2, and the coefficients C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, $\widetilde{C}_1, \widetilde{C}_2, \widetilde{C}_3, \widetilde{C}_4$, $\hat{D}_1, \hat{D}_2$. We suppose the smooth fit condition hold, and combined with $\nu'(b_2, i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have the equations following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu(0,1) = 0, \quad \nu(0,2) = 0, \quad \nu(b_2+,1) = \nu(b_2-,1), \quad \nu(b_2+,2) = \nu(b_2-,2) \\ &\nu'(b_2+,1) = \nu'(b_2-,1), \quad \nu'(b_2+,2) = 1, \quad \nu'(b_2-,2) = 1 \\ &\nu(B_1+,1) = \nu(B_1-,1), \quad \nu(B_1+,2) = \nu(B_1-,2), \quad \nu'(B_1+,2) = \nu'(B_1-,2) \\ &\nu'(B_1-,1) = 1, \quad \nu(B_2+,2) = \nu(B_2-,2), \quad \nu'(B_2-,2) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

Meanwhile the coefficients D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4, $\widetilde{D}_1, \widetilde{D}_2, \widetilde{D}_3, \widetilde{D}_4$ can be obtained from equation (4.29),(4.32).

Take notice that we assume $\nu'(0,1)=1$ and $\nu'(0,2)>1$. This condition is satisfied if and only if the coefficients found through the system of E.q.(4.28) satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} C_1\theta_1 + C_2\theta_2 + C_3\theta_3 + C_4\theta_4 = 1, \\ C_1\varphi_1^2(\theta_1)\theta_1 + C_2\varphi_1^2(\theta_2)\theta_2 + C_3\varphi_1^2(\theta_3)\theta_3 + C_4\varphi_1^2(\theta_4)\theta_4 > \lambda_1. \end{cases} \tag{4.36}$$

Case 3: $\nu'(0,1)=1$, $\nu'(0,2)=1$.

There are three intervals need to be considered : $[0,B_1)$, $[B_1,B_2)$, $[B_2,\infty)$.

When $x\in[0,B_1)$, $\nu'(x,1)\le 1$ and $\nu'(x,2)\le 1$ then we have the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(1)\nu''(x,1) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,1) - \delta\nu(x,1) + L\big(1-\nu'(x,1)\big) = \lambda_1\nu(x,1) - \lambda_1\nu(x,2), \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(2)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) + L\big(1-\nu'(x,2)\big) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1), \end{cases} \tag{4.37}$$

Consider the characteristic equation for (4.37), $\phi_1^3(\beta)\phi_2^3(\beta)=\lambda_1\lambda_2$, where ϕ_1^3 and ϕ_2^3 have been defined in case 1, and by the similar way we know the solution of the E.q.(4.37) is

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = M_1e^{\beta_1 x} + M_2e^{\beta_2 x} + M_3e^{\beta_3 x} + M_4e^{\beta_4 x} + \frac{L}{\delta}, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = N_1e^{\beta_1 x} + N_2e^{\beta_2 x} + N_3e^{N_3 x} + N_4e^{\beta_4 x} + \frac{L}{\delta}, \end{cases} \tag{4.38}$$

and for $j=1,2,3,4$,

$$N_j = \frac{\phi_1^3(\beta_j)}{\lambda_1}M_j = \frac{\lambda_2}{\phi_2^3(\beta_j)}M_j, \tag{4.39}$$

where $\beta_1<\beta_2<0<\beta_3<\beta_4$ are characteristic roots.

When $x\in[B_1,B_2)$, $\nu'(x,1)=1$ and $\nu'(x,2)\le 1$ then we have the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = x-K, \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(2)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) + L\big(1-\nu'(x,2)\big) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1). \end{cases} \tag{4.40}$$

Consider the characteristic equation for the second equation above, $\phi^4(\widetilde{\beta}) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2\widetilde{\beta}^2 + (\mu_2 - L)\widetilde{\beta} - (\lambda_2+\delta) = 0$. It has two real roots: $\widetilde{\beta}_1<\widetilde{\beta}_2$, then the solution of the ODE is:

$$\nu(x,2) = \widetilde{N}_1e^{\widetilde{\beta}_1(x-B_2)} + \widetilde{N}_2e^{\widetilde{\beta}_2(x-B_2)} + U(x),$$

where $U(x)$ has been defined in the previous section.

When $x \in [B_2, \infty)$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = x - K, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = x - K. \end{cases} \tag{4.41}$$

In order to find the thresholds B_1, B_2, and the coefficients $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4, \widetilde{N}_1, \widetilde{N}_2$. We suppose the smooth fit condition hold, thus we have the equations following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu(0,1) = 0, \quad \nu(0,2) = 0, \quad \nu(B_1-,1) = \nu(B_1+,1) \\ &\nu(B_1+,2) = \nu(B_1-,2), \quad \nu'(B_1+,2) = \nu'(B_1-,2), \quad \nu'(B_1-,1) = 1 \\ &\nu(B_2+,2) = \nu(B_2-,2), \quad \nu'(B_2-,2) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

Meanwhile the coefficients N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4 can be obtained from equation (4.39).

Note that we assume $\nu'(0,1) = 1$ and $\nu'(0,2) = 1$. This condition is satisfied if the coefficients found through the system of E.q.(4.38) satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} M_1\beta_1 + M_2\beta_2 + M_3\beta_3 + M_4\beta_4 = 1, \\ M_1\varphi_1^3(\beta_1)\beta_1 + M_2\varphi_1^3(\beta_2)\beta_2 + M_3\varphi_1^3(\beta_3)\beta_3 + M_4\varphi_1^3(\beta_4)\beta_4 = \lambda_1. \end{cases} \tag{4.43}$$

Next we will give a theorem which shows that ν is indeed the value function. We also give the optimal dividend policy.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that* $b_1 \le b_2 < B_1 \le B_2$

Assume that $\dot{A}_j$, $\widetilde{A}_j$, $\hat{A}_j$, $j = 1,2,3,4$, $\breve{A}_j$, $j = 1,2$ *be the solution of the system E.q.(4.25) and suppose they satisfy condition (4.26). In addition,* $\dot{B}_j$, $\widetilde{B}_j$, $\hat{B}_j$, $j = 1,2,3,4$, $\breve{B}_j$, $j = 1,2$ *and* $U(x)$ *are defined before. Then the function* ν *given by*

$$\nu(x,1) = \begin{cases} \dot{A}_1 e^{\dot{\alpha}_1 x} + \dot{A}_2 e^{\dot{\alpha}_2 x} + \dot{A}_3 e^{\dot{\alpha}_3 x} + \dot{A}_4 e^{\dot{\alpha}_4 x}, & \text{if } x \in [0, b_1), \\ \widetilde{A}_1 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1 (x-b_2)} + \widetilde{A}_2 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2 (x-b_2)} + \widetilde{A}_3 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3 (x-b_2)} + \widetilde{A}_4 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4 (x-b_2)} + F_1, & \text{if } x \in [b_1, b_2), \\ \hat{A}_1 e^{\hat{\alpha}_1 (x-B_1)} + \hat{A}_2 e^{\hat{\alpha}_2 (x-B_1)} + \hat{A}_3 e^{\hat{\alpha}_3 (x-B_1)} + \hat{A}_4 e^{\hat{\alpha}_4 (x-B_1)} + \frac{L}{\delta}, & \text{if } x \in [b_2, B_1), \\ \widetilde{A}_1 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1 (b_1-b_2)} + \widetilde{A}_2 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2 (b_1-b_2)} + \widetilde{A}_3 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3 (b_1-b_2)} + \widetilde{A}_4 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4 (b_1-b_2)} + F_1 + x - b_1 - K, & \text{if } x \in [B_1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu(x,2)=\begin{cases}\dot{B}_1e^{\dot{\alpha}_1x}+\dot{B}_2e^{\dot{\alpha}_2x}+\dot{B}_3e^{\dot{\alpha}_3x}+\dot{B}_4e^{\dot{\alpha}_4x}, & \text{if } x\in[0,b_1),\\ \widetilde{B}_1e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1(x-b_2)}+\widetilde{B}_2e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2(x-b_2)}+\widetilde{B}_3e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3(x-b_2)}+\widetilde{B}_4e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4(x-b_2)}+F_2, & \text{if } x\in[b_1,b_2),\\ \hat{B}_1e^{\hat{\alpha}_1(x-B_1)}+\hat{B}_2e^{\hat{\alpha}_2(x-B_1)}+\hat{B}_3e^{\hat{\alpha}_3(x-B_1)}+\hat{B}_4e^{\hat{\alpha}_4(x-B_1)}+\frac{L}{\delta}, & \text{if } x\in[b_2,B_1),\\ \breve{B}_1e^{\breve{\alpha}_1(x-B_2)}+\breve{B}_2e^{\breve{\alpha}_2(x-B_2)}+U(x), & \text{if } x\in[B_1,B_2),\\ \hat{B}_1e^{\hat{\alpha}_1(b_2-B_1)}+\hat{B}_2e^{\hat{\alpha}_2(b_2-B_1)}+\hat{B}_3e^{\hat{\alpha}_3(b_2-B_1)}+\hat{B}_4e^{\hat{\alpha}_4(b_2-B_1)}+\frac{L}{\delta}+x-b_2-K, & \text{if } x\in[B_2,\infty)\end{cases}$$

are the value function. And optimal strategy $\hat{\pi}=\left(\hat{u},\hat{\Gamma},\hat{\xi}\right)=\pi^{\nu}=\left(u^{\nu},\Gamma^{\nu},\xi^{\nu}\right)$ *is the QVI control associated with* ν*, furthermore,* $\hat{u}$ *is defined by*

$$\hat{u}(t)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } \epsilon_t=i \text{ and } X_t\in[0,b_i),\\ L & \text{if } \epsilon_t=i \text{ and } X_t\in[b_i,B_i),\end{cases}$$

for $t\in[0,\hat{\Theta})$*, and* $\hat{u}(t)=0$ *for* $t\in[\hat{\Theta},\infty)$*.*

Consider the case in which $\dot{A}_j$*,* $\widetilde{A}_j$*,* $\hat{A}_j$*,* $j=1,2,3,4$*,* $\breve{A}_j$*,* $j=1,2$ *do not satisfy condition* (4.26)*. Instead suppose condition* (4.36) *are satisfied, and assume* C_j*,* $\widetilde{C}_j$*,* $j=1,2,3,4$ *and* $\hat{D}_1$*,* $\hat{D}_2$ *be the solution of the system E.q.*(4.35)*.* D_j*,* $\widetilde{D}_j$*,* $j=1,2,3,4$ *and* F_j*,* $j=1,2$ *are defined before. Then the function* ν *given by*

$$\nu(x,1)=\begin{cases}C_1e^{\theta_1x}+C_2e^{\theta_2x}+C_3e^{\theta_3x}+C_4e^{\theta_4x}+F_1, & \text{if } x\in[0,b_2),\\ \widetilde{C}_1e^{\widetilde{\theta}_1(x-B_1)}+\widetilde{C}_2e^{\widetilde{\theta}_2(x-B_1)}+\widetilde{C}_3e^{\widetilde{\theta}_3(x-B_1)}+\widetilde{C}_4e^{\widetilde{\theta}_4(x-B_1)}+\frac{L}{\delta}, & \text{if } x\in[b_2,B_1),\\ x-K, & \text{if } x\in[B_1,\infty),\end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu(x,2)=\begin{cases}D_1e^{\theta_1x}+D_2e^{\theta_2x}+D_3e^{\theta_3x}+D_4e^{\theta_4x}+F_2, & \text{if } x\in[0,b_2),\\ \widetilde{D}_1e^{\widetilde{\theta}_1(x-B_1)}+\widetilde{D}_2e^{\widetilde{\theta}_2(x-B_1)}+\widetilde{D}_3e^{\widetilde{\theta}_3(x-B_1)}+\widetilde{D}_4e^{\widetilde{\theta}_4(x-B_1)}+\frac{L}{\delta}, & \text{if } x\in[b_2,B_1),\\ \hat{D}_1e^{\hat{\theta}_1(x-B_2)}+\hat{D}_2e^{\hat{\theta}_2(x-B_2)}+U(x), & \text{if } x\in[B_1,B_2),\\ \widetilde{D}_1e^{\widetilde{\theta}_1(b_2-B_1)}+\widetilde{D}_2e^{\widetilde{\theta}_2(b_2-B_1)}+\widetilde{D}_3e^{\widetilde{\theta}_3(b_2-B_1)}+\widetilde{D}_4e^{\widetilde{\theta}_4(b_2-B_1)}+\frac{L}{\delta}+x-b_2-K, & \text{if } x\in[B_2,\infty)\end{cases}$$

are the value function. And optimal strategy $\hat{\pi}=\left(\hat{u},\hat{\Gamma},\hat{\xi}\right)=\pi^{\nu}=\left(u^{\nu},\Gamma^{\nu},\xi^{\nu}\right)$ *is the QVI control associated with* ν*, furthermore,* $\hat{u}$ *is defined by*

$$\hat{u}(t)=\begin{cases}L & \text{if } \epsilon_t=1\ ,\\ 0 & \text{if } \epsilon_t=2 \text{ and } X_t\in[0,b_2),\\ L & \text{if } \epsilon_t=2 \text{ and } X_t\in[b_2,B_2),\end{cases}$$

for $t\in[0,\hat{\Theta})$*, and* $\hat{u}(t)=0$ *for* $t\in[\hat{\Theta},\infty)$*.*

Consider the case in which condition (4.26) and (4.36) are not satisfied, but condition (4.43) is satisfied. We suppose $M_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$; $\widetilde{N}_j$, $j = 1, 2$ be the solution of the system E.q.(4.42), and N_j, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $U(x)$ are defined before. Then the function ν given by

$$\nu(x,1) = \begin{cases} M_1 e^{\beta_1 x} + M_2 e^{\beta_2 x} + M_3 e^{\beta_3 x} + M_4 e^{\beta_4 x} + \frac{L}{\delta}, & \text{if } x \in [0, B_1), \\ x - K, & \text{if } x \in [B_1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu(x,2) = \begin{cases} N_1 e^{\beta_1 x} + N_2 e^{\beta_2 x} + N_3 e^{\beta_3 x} + N_4 e^{\beta_4 x} + \frac{L}{\delta}, & \text{if } x \in [0, B_1), \\ \widetilde{N}_1 e^{\widetilde{\beta}_1 (x - B_2)} + \widetilde{N}_2 e^{\widetilde{\beta}_2 (x - B_2)} + U(x), & \text{if } x \in [B_1, B_2), \\ x - K, & \text{if } x \in [B_2, \infty) \end{cases}$$

are the value function. And optimal strategy $\hat{\pi} = \left(\hat{u}, \hat{\Gamma}, \hat{\xi}\right) = \pi^{\nu} = \left(u^{\nu}, \Gamma^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu}\right)$ is the QVI control associated with ν, furthermore, $\hat{u}$ defined by

$$\hat{u}(t) = \begin{cases} L & \text{if } t \in [0, \hat{\Theta}), \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [\hat{\Theta}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Proof. We omit the proof, because it is similar to and simpler than the proof of Theorem 4.5 below. □

4.2 The case of $b_1 < B_1 < b_2 < B_2$

In this subsection we assume $b_1 < B_1 < b_2 < B_2$. Considering the relationship between $\nu'(0, i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and 1, we have three cases: $\nu'(0, i) > 1$ for both $i \in \{1, 2\}$; $\nu'(0, i_0) = 1$ and $\nu'(0, 3 - i_0) > 1$ for some $i_0 \in \{1, 2\}$; and $\nu'(0, i) = 1$ for both $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Case 1: $\nu'(0, 1) > 1$ and $\nu'(0, 2) > 1$

According to the discussion above, we need to consider five possibilities: $x \in [0, b_1)$; $x \in [b_1, B_1)$; $x \in [B_1, b_2)$; $x \in [b_2, B_2)$ and $x \in [B_2, \infty)$.

When $x \in [0, b_1)$, we have $\nu'(x, 1) > 1$ and $\nu'(x, 2) > 1$, E.q.(4.6) gives the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(1)\nu''(x,1) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,1) - \delta\nu(x,1) = \lambda_1 \nu(x,1) - \lambda_1 \nu(x,2), \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) = \lambda_2 \nu(x,2) - \lambda_2 \nu(x,1), \end{cases} \tag{4.44}$$

which is the same as E.q.(4.13). By the same way as in previous section, we have the solution:

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \dot{A}_1 e^{\dot{\alpha}_1 x} + \dot{A}_2 e^{\dot{\alpha}_2 x} + \dot{A}_3 e^{\dot{\alpha}_3 x} + \dot{A}_4 e^{\dot{\alpha}_4 x}, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \dot{B}_1 e^{\dot{\alpha}_1 x} + \dot{B}_2 e^{\dot{\alpha}_2 x} + \dot{B}_3 e^{\dot{\alpha}_3 x} + \dot{B}_4 e^{\dot{\alpha}_4 x}, \end{cases} \tag{4.45}$$

where for each $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\dot{B}_j = \frac{\phi_1^1(\dot{\alpha}_j)}{\lambda_1} \dot{A}_j = \frac{\lambda_2}{\phi_2^1(\dot{\alpha}_j)} \dot{A}_j, \tag{4.46}$$

and $\dot{\alpha}_1 < \dot{\alpha}_2 < 0 < \dot{\alpha}_3 < \dot{\alpha}_4$ are the four roots of characteristic equation $\phi_1^1(\dot{\alpha})\phi_2^1(\dot{\alpha}) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$.

When $x \in [b_1, B_1)$, we have $\nu'(x,1) \leq 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) > 1$, E.q.(4.5),(4.6) give the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(1)\nu''(x,1) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,1) - \delta\nu(x,1) + L\big(1 - \nu'(x,1)\big) = \lambda_1\nu(x,1) - \lambda_1\nu(x,2), \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1). \end{cases} \tag{4.47}$$

According to section 4.1, we know the solution of E.q.(4.47) is

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \widetilde{A}_1 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1(x-B_1)} + \widetilde{A}_2 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2(x-B_1)} + \widetilde{A}_3 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3(x-B_1)} + \widetilde{A}_4 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4(x-B_1)} + F_1, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \widetilde{B}_1 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1(x-B_1)} + \widetilde{B}_2 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2(x-B_1)} + \widetilde{B}_3 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3(x-B_1)} + \widetilde{B}_4 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4(x-B_1)} + F_2, \end{cases} \tag{4.48}$$

where $F_i := \frac{(\lambda_2 + (2-i)\delta)L}{(\lambda_i+\delta)(\lambda_2+\delta) - \lambda_1\lambda_2}$, $i = 1, 2$ and for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\widetilde{B}_j = \frac{\phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_j)}{\lambda_1} \widetilde{A}_j = \frac{\lambda_2}{\phi_2^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_j)} \widetilde{A}_j, \tag{4.49}$$

and $\widetilde{\alpha}_1 < \widetilde{\alpha}_2 < 0 < \widetilde{\alpha}_3 < \widetilde{\alpha}_4$ are the four roots of characteristic equation $\phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha})\phi_2^2(\widetilde{\alpha}) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$.

Next we give a theorem which is similar to the one in [13]. To apply it in our situation, we need to adapt it in some details, and then we complete its proof.

Theorem 4.4. *If the solution ν of the system (4.47) is such that $\nu''(\cdot, 1)$ is strictly increasing in certain left neighborhood of B_1, $\nu'(B_1-, 1) = 1$ and $\nu''(B_1-, 1) \geq 0$, then we have $\nu'(b_1-, 2) < \frac{(\lambda_1+\delta)}{\lambda_1}$.*

Proof. If $\nu''(\cdot,1)$ is strictly increasing in certain left neighborhood of B_1, then exist constant c, for every $x \in [B_1 - c, B_1)$, we have $\nu'''(x,1) > 0$, in particular $\nu'''(B_1-,1) > 0$. Hence, combined with the definition of ϕ_1^2:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1\nu'(B_1-,2) &= \lambda_1\big[\widetilde{B}_1\widetilde{\alpha}_1 + \widetilde{B}_2\widetilde{\alpha}_2 + \widetilde{B}_3\widetilde{\alpha}_3 + \widetilde{B}_4\widetilde{\alpha}_4\big] \\
&= \lambda_1\big[\frac{\phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_1)}{\lambda_1}\widetilde{A}_1\widetilde{\alpha}_1 + \frac{\phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_2)}{\lambda_1}\widetilde{A}_2\widetilde{\alpha}_2 + \frac{\phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_3)}{\lambda_1}\widetilde{A}_3\widetilde{\alpha}_3 + \frac{\phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_4)}{\lambda_1}\widetilde{A}_4\widetilde{\alpha}_4\big] \\
&= \phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_1)\widetilde{A}_1\widetilde{\alpha}_1 + \phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_2)\widetilde{A}_2\widetilde{\alpha}_2 + \phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_3)\widetilde{A}_3\widetilde{\alpha}_3 + \phi_1^2(\widetilde{\alpha}_4)\widetilde{A}_4\widetilde{\alpha}_4 \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\sum_{j=1}^{4}\widetilde{\alpha}_j^3\widetilde{A}_j - (\mu_1 - L)\sum_{j=1}^{4}\widetilde{\alpha}_j^2\widetilde{A}_j + (\lambda_1+\delta)\sum_{j=1}^{4}\widetilde{\alpha}_j\widetilde{A}_j \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\nu'''(B_1-,1) - (\mu_1 - L)\nu''(B_1-,1) + (\lambda_1+\delta)\nu'(B_1-,1) \le \lambda_1 + \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the assumption (H) $\mu_* > L$. □

When $x \in [B_1, b_2)$, $\nu'(x,1) = 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) > 1$, then we have the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases}
\nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K, \\
\\
\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(2)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1),
\end{cases} \tag{4.50}$$

where $\nu(b_1,1)$ can be calculated by E.q.(4.48). Consider the characteristic equation for the second equation above. $\phi^5(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2\hat{\alpha}^2 + \mu_2\hat{\alpha} - (\lambda_2+\delta) = 0$. It has two real roots: $\hat{\alpha}_1 < \hat{\alpha}_2$, then the solution of the ODE is:

$$\nu(x,2) = \hat{B}_1 e^{\hat{\alpha}_1(x-b_2)} + \hat{B}_2 e^{\hat{\alpha}_2(x-b_2)} + \bar{U}(x),$$

where $\bar{U}(x) = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta}x + \frac{1}{\lambda_2+\delta}\Big\{\mu_2\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta} + L + \lambda_2\big[\nu(b_1,1) - b_1 - K\big]\Big\}$. That is, the solution of E.q.(4.50) is

$$\begin{cases}
\nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K, \\
\\
\nu(x,2) = \hat{B}_1 e^{\hat{\alpha}_1(x-b_2)} + \hat{B}_2 e^{\hat{\alpha}_2(x-b_2)} + \bar{U}(x).
\end{cases} \tag{4.51}$$

When $x \in [b_2, B_2)$, $\nu'(x,1) = 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) < 1$, then we have the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases}
\nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K, \\
\\
\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(2)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) + L(1-\nu'(x,2)) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1),
\end{cases} \tag{4.52}$$

where $\nu(b_1,1)$ can be calculated by E.q.(4.48). According to section 4.1, we know the solution of E.q.(4.52) is

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \breve{B}_1 e^{\breve{\alpha}_1(x-B_2)} + \breve{B}_2 e^{\breve{\alpha}_2(x-B_2)} + U(x), \end{cases} \tag{4.53}$$

where $U(x) = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta}x + \frac{1}{\lambda_2+\delta}\Big\{(\mu_2-L)\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta} + L + \lambda_2\big[\nu(b_1,1) - b_1 - K\big]\Big\}$, and $\breve{\alpha}_1 < \breve{\alpha}_2$ are the two roots of the characteristic equation for the second equation above: $\phi^4(\breve{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2\breve{\alpha}^2 + (\mu_2 - L)\breve{\alpha} - (\lambda_2+\delta) = 0$.

When $x \in [B_2, \infty)$. From the equation (3.7), we have

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \nu(b_2,2) + x - b_2 - K, \end{cases} \tag{4.54}$$

where $\nu(b_1,1)$ and $\nu(b_2,2)$ can be calculated by E.q.(4.48) and E.q.(4.53) respectively.

In order to find the thresholds b_1, b_2, B_1, B_2, and the coefficients $\dot{A}_1, \dot{A}_2, \dot{A}_3, \dot{A}_4$, $\widetilde{A}_1, \widetilde{A}_2, \widetilde{A}_3, \widetilde{A}_4$, $\breve{B}_1, \breve{B}_2$, $\hat{B}_1, \hat{B}_2$. We suppose the smooth fit condition hold, and combined with $\nu'(b_i, i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have the equations following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu(0,1) = 0, \quad \nu(0,2) = 0, \quad \nu(b_1+,1) = \nu(b_1-,1), \quad \nu(b_1+,2) = \nu(b_1-,2), \\ &\nu'(b_1+,1) = 1, \quad \nu'(b_1-,1) = 1, \quad \nu'(b_1+,2) = \nu'(b_1-,2), \quad \nu(b_2+,2) = \nu(b_2-,2), \\ &\nu'(b_2+,2) = 1, \quad \nu'(b_2-,2) = 1, \quad \nu(B_1+,1) = \nu(B_1-,1), \\ &\nu(B_1+,2) = \nu(B_1-,2), \quad \nu'(B_1+,2) = \nu'(B_1-,2), \quad \nu'(B_1-,1) = 1, \\ &\nu(B_2+,2) = \nu(B_2-,2), \quad \nu'(B_2-,2) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.55}$$

Meanwhile the coefficients $\dot{B}_1, \dot{B}_2, \dot{B}_3, \dot{B}_4, \widetilde{B}_1, \widetilde{B}_2, \widetilde{B}_3, \widetilde{B}_4$ can be obtained from equation (4.46),(4.49).

Note that we assume $\nu'(0,1) > 1$ and $\nu'(0,2) > 1$. This condition is satisfied if the coefficients found through the system of E.q.(4.45) satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{A}_1\dot{\alpha}_1 + \dot{A}_2\dot{\alpha}_2 + \dot{A}_3\dot{\alpha}_3 + \dot{A}_4\dot{\alpha}_4 > 1, \\ \dot{A}_1\varphi_1^1(\dot{\alpha}_1)\alpha_1 + \dot{A}_2\varphi_1^1(\dot{\alpha}_2)\alpha_2 + \dot{A}_3\varphi_1^1(\dot{\alpha}_3)\alpha_3 + \dot{A}_4\varphi_1^1(\dot{\alpha}_4)\alpha_4 > \lambda_1. \end{cases} \tag{4.56}$$

Case 2: $\nu'(0,1) = 1$ and $\nu'(0,2) > 1$

According to the discussion above, we need to consider four possibilities: $x \in [0, B_1)$; $x \in [B_1, b_2)$; $x \in [b_2, B_2)$ and $x \in [B_2, \infty)$.

When $x \in [0, B_1)$, we have $\nu'(x,1) \leq 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) > 1$, E.q.(4.5),(4.6) give the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(1)\nu''(x,1) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,1) - \delta\nu(x,1) + L(1-\nu'(x,1)) = \lambda_1\nu(x,1) - \lambda_1\nu(x,2), \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(1)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1). \end{cases} \tag{4.57}$$

By the similar way, we know the solution of E.q.(4.57) is

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = C_1 e^{\theta_1(x-B_1)} + C_2 e^{\theta_2(x-B_1)} + C_3 e^{\theta_3(x-B_1)} + C_4 e^{\theta_4(x-B_1)} + F_1, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = D_1 e^{\theta_1(x-B_1)} + D_2 e^{\theta_2(x-B_1)} + D_3 e^{\theta_3(x-B_1)} + D_4 e^{\theta_4(x-B_1)} + F_2, \end{cases} \tag{4.58}$$

where $F_i := \frac{(\lambda_2+(2-i)\delta)L}{(\lambda_i+\delta)(\lambda_2+\delta)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}$, $i = 1, 2$ and for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$D_j = \frac{\phi_1^2(\theta_j)}{\lambda_1} C_j = \frac{\lambda_2}{\phi_2^2(\theta_j)} C_j, \tag{4.59}$$

and $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < 0 < \theta_3 < \theta_4$ are the four roots of characteristic equation $\phi_1^2(\theta)\phi_2^2(\theta) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$.

When $x \in [B_1, b_2)$, $\nu'(x,1) = 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) > 1$, then we have the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K = x - K, \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(2)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1). \end{cases} \tag{4.60}$$

Consider the characteristic equation for the second equation above: $\phi^5(\widetilde{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2\widetilde{\theta}^2 + \mu_2\widetilde{\theta} - (\lambda_2+\delta) = 0$. It has two real roots: $\widetilde{\theta}_1 < \widetilde{\theta}_2$, then the solution of the ODE is:

$$\nu(x,2) = \widetilde{D}_1 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_1(x-b_2)} + \widetilde{D}_2 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_2(x-b_2)} + \bar{U}(x),$$

where $\bar{U}(x) = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta}x + \frac{1}{\lambda_2+\delta}\{\mu_2\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta} + L - \lambda_2 K\}$. That is the solution of E.q.(4.60) is

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = x - K, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \widetilde{D}_1 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_1(x-b_2)} + \widetilde{D}_2 e^{\widetilde{\theta}_2(x-b_2)} + \bar{U}(x). \end{cases} \tag{4.61}$$

When $x \in [b_2, B_2)$, we have $\nu'(x,1) = 1$ and $\nu'(x,2) \leq 1$. E.q.(4.5),(4.7) give the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K = x - K, \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)\nu''(x,2) + \mu(2)\nu'(x,2) - \delta\nu(x,2) + L(1-\nu'(x,2)) = \lambda_2\nu(x,2) - \lambda_2\nu(x,1). \end{cases} \tag{4.62}$$

Consider the characteristic equation for the second equation above. $\phi^4(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2\hat{\theta}^2 + (\mu_2 - L)\hat{\theta} - (\lambda_2 + \delta) = 0$. It has two real roots: $\hat{\theta}_1 < \hat{\theta}_2$, then the solution of the ODE is:

$$\nu(x,2) = \hat{D}_1 e^{\hat{\theta}_1(x-B_2)} + \hat{D}_2 e^{\hat{\theta}_2(x-B_2)} + U(x),$$

where $U(x) = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta}x + \frac{1}{\lambda_2+\delta}\big\{(\mu_2 - L)\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta} + L - \lambda_2 K\big\}$. That is the E.q.(4.62) has solution:

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = x - K, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \hat{D}_1 e^{\hat{\theta}_1(x-B_2)} + \hat{D}_2 e^{\hat{\theta}_2(x-B_2)} + U(x). \end{cases} \tag{4.63}$$

When $x \in [B_2, \infty)$. From the equation (4.7), we have

$$\begin{cases} \nu(x,1) = x - K, \\ \\ \nu(x,2) = \nu(b_2,2) + x - b_2 - K, \end{cases} \tag{4.64}$$

where $\nu(b_2,2)$ can be calculated by the result in the case of $x \in [b_2, B_2)$.

In order to find the thresholds b_2, B_1, B_2, and the coefficients C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, $\widetilde{D}_1, \widetilde{D}_2$, $\hat{D}_1, \hat{D}_2$. We suppose the smooth fit condition hold and $\nu'(b_i, i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, thus we have the equations following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu(0,1) = 0, \quad \nu(0,2) = 0, \quad \nu(b_2+,2) = \nu(b_2-,2), \quad \nu'(b_2+,2) = 1, \\ &\nu'(b_2-,2) = 1, \quad \nu(B_1+,1) = \nu(B_1-,1), \quad \nu(B_1+,2) = \nu(B_1-,2), \quad \nu'(B_1+,2) = \nu'(B_1-,2), \\ &\nu'(B_1-,1) = 1, \quad \nu(B_2+,2) = \nu(B_2-,2), \quad \nu'(B_2-,2) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.65}$$

Meanwhile the coefficients D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 can be obtained from equation (4.49).

Note that we assume $\nu'(0,1) = 1$ and $\nu'(0,2) > 1$. This condition is satisfied if the coefficients found through the system of E.q.(4.61) satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} C_1\theta_1 + C_2\theta_2 + C_3\theta_3 + C_4\theta_4 > 1, \\ C_1\varphi_1^2(\theta_1)\theta_1 + C_2\varphi_1^2(\theta_2)\theta_2 + C_3\varphi_1^2(\theta_3)\theta_3 + C_4\varphi_1^2(\theta_4)\theta_4 > \lambda_1. \end{cases} \tag{4.66}$$

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that* $b_1 < B_1 < b_2 < B_2$

Assume that $\dot{A}_j$, $\widetilde{A}_j$, $j = 1,2,3,4$, $\breve{B}_j$, $\hat{B}_j$, $j = 1,2$ *be the solution of the system E.q.*(4.55) *and suppose they satisfy condition* (4.56). *Assume that* $\dot{B}_j$, $\widetilde{B}_j$, $j = 1,2,3,4$ *and* $U(x)$, $\bar{U}(x)$*are defined before. Then the function* ν *given by*

$$\nu(x,1) = \begin{cases} \dot{A}_1 e^{\alpha_1 x} + \dot{A}_2 e^{\alpha_2 x} + \dot{A}_3 e^{\alpha_3 x} + \dot{A}_4 e^{\alpha_4 x}, & \text{if } x \in [0, b_1), \\ \widetilde{A}_1 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1 (x - B_1)} + \widetilde{A}_2 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2 (x - B_1)} + \widetilde{A}_3 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3 (x - B_1)} + \widetilde{A}_4 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4 (x - B_1)} + F_1, & \text{if } x \in [b_1, B_1), \\ \widetilde{A}_1 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1 (b_1 - B_1)} + \widetilde{A}_2 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2 (b_1 - B_1)} + \widetilde{A}_3 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3 (b_1 - B_1)} + \widetilde{A}_4 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4 (b_1 - B_1)} + F_1 + x - b_1 - K, & \text{if } x \in [B_1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu(x,2) = \begin{cases} \dot{B}_1 e^{\alpha_1 x} + \dot{B}_2 e^{\alpha_2 x} + \dot{B}_3 e^{\alpha_3 x} + \dot{B}_4 e^{\alpha_4 x}, & \text{if } x \in [0, b_1), \\ \widetilde{B}_1 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1 (x - B_1)} + \widetilde{B}_2 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2 (x - B_1)} + \widetilde{B}_3 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3 (x - B_1)} + \widetilde{B}_4 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4 (x - B_1)} + F_2, & \text{if } x \in [b_1, B_1), \\ \hat{B}_1 e^{\hat{\alpha}_1 (x - b_2)} + \hat{B}_2 e^{\hat{\alpha}_2 (x - b_2)} + \bar{U}(x), & \text{if } x \in [B_1, b_2), \\ \breve{B}_1 e^{\breve{\alpha}_1 (x - B_2)} + \breve{B}_2 e^{\breve{\alpha}_2 (x - B_2)} + U(x), & \text{if } x \in [b_2, B_2), \\ \breve{B}_1 e^{\breve{\alpha}_1 (b_2 - B_2)} + \breve{B}_2 e^{\breve{\alpha}_2 (b_2 - B_2)} + U(b_2) + x - b_2 - K, & \text{if } x \in [B_2, \infty) \end{cases}$$

is the value function. And optimal strategy $\hat{\pi} = \left(\hat{u}, \hat{\Gamma}, \hat{\xi}\right) = \pi^\nu = \left(u^\nu, \Gamma^\nu, \xi^\nu\right)$ *is the QVI control associated with* ν, *furthermore,* $\hat{u}$ *defined by*

$$\hat{u}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \epsilon_t = i \text{ and } X_t \in [0, b_i), \\ L & \text{if } \epsilon_t = i \text{ and } X_t \in [b_i, B_i), \end{cases}$$

for $t \in [0, \hat{\Theta})$, *and* $\hat{u}(t) = 0$ *for* $t \in [\hat{\Theta}, \infty)$.

Consider the case in which $\dot{A}_j$, $\widetilde{A}_j$, $j = 1,2,3,4$, $\hat{A}_j$, $\breve{A}_j$, $j = 1,2$ *do not satisfy condition* (4.56). *Instead suppose condition* (4.66) *are satisfied, and assume* C_j, $j = 1,2,3,4$ *and* $\hat{D}_j$, $\widetilde{D}_j$, $j = 1,2$ *be the solution of the system E.q.*(4.65). D_j, $j = 1,2,3,4$ *and* F_j, $j = 1,2$, $U(x)$, $\bar{U}(x)$ *are defined before. Then the function* ν *given by*

$$\nu(x,1) = \begin{cases} C_1 e^{\theta_1 (x - B_1)} + C_2 e^{\theta_2 (x - B_1)} + C_3 e^{\theta_3 (x - B_1)} + C_4 e^{\theta_4 (x - B_1)} + F_1, & \text{if } x \in [0, B_1), \\ x - K, & \text{if } x \in [B_1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu(x,2)=\begin{cases} D_1e^{\theta_1(x-B_1)}+D_2e^{\theta_2(x-B_1)}+D_3e^{\theta_3(x-B_1)}+D_4e^{\theta_4(x-B_1)}+F_2, & \text{if } x\in[0,B_1),\\ \widetilde{D}_1e^{\widetilde{\theta}_1(x-b_2)}+\widetilde{D}_2e^{\widetilde{\theta}_2(x-b_2)}+\bar{U}(x), & \text{if } x\in[B_1,b_2),\\ \hat{D}_1e^{\hat{\theta}_1(x-B_2)}+\hat{D}_2e^{\hat{\theta}_2(x-B_2)}+U(x), & \text{if } x\in[b_2,B_2),\\ \hat{D}_1e^{\hat{\theta}_1(b_2-B_2)}+\hat{D}_2e^{\hat{\theta}_2(b_2-B_2)}+U(b_2)+x-b_2-K, & \text{if } x\in[B_2,\infty) \end{cases}$$

is the value function. And optimal strategy $\hat{\pi}=\big(\hat{u},\hat{\Gamma},\hat{\xi}\big)=\pi^{\nu}=\big(u^{\nu},\Gamma^{\nu},\xi^{\nu}\big)$ *is the QVI control associated with* ν*, furthermore,* $\hat{u}$ *defined by*

$$\hat{u}(t)=\begin{cases} L & \text{if } \epsilon_t=1,\\ 0 & \text{if } \epsilon_t=2 \text{ and } X_t\in[0,b_2),\\ L & \text{if } \epsilon_t=2 \text{ and } X_t\in[b_2,B_2), \end{cases}$$

for $t\in[0,\hat{\Theta})$*, and* $\hat{u}(t)=0$ *for* $t\in[\hat{\Theta},\infty)$*.*

Proof. To prove the function ν defined above is value function, we have only to show it satisfies the conditions of theorem 3.3. Obviously, we have $\nu(x,1)\in C^2([0,\infty)/\{b_1,b_2,B_1\})$ and $\nu(x,2)\in C^2([0,\infty)/\{b_1,b_2,B_1,B_2\})$. From smooth fit condition, we can see $\nu(0,i)=0$, $i\in\{1,2\}$, and $\nu(0,i)$, $i\in\{1,2\}$ is continuously differentiable function. On the other hand, it is manifest that $\nu(x,i)$ is linear on $[B_i,\infty)$, $i=\{1,2\}$. In the following, if we can prove $\nu(x,i)$, $i\in\{1,2\}$ satisfy QVI, then we would complete the proof.

Firstly, we consider the case in which coefficients $\dot{A}_j$, $\widetilde{A}_j$, $j=1,2,3,4$, $\breve{A}_j$, $\hat{A}_j$, $j=1,2$ are the solution of the system E.q.(4.55) and they satisfy condition (4.56), that is, the case of $\nu'(0,i)>1$, for $i\in\{1,2\}$. Taking account of $\nu'(x,1)$, on the interval $[0,b_1)$, $\nu'(x,1)>1$, then we have

$$\widetilde{L}_1(u)\nu(x,1)+u\le\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\nu''(x,1)+\mu_1\nu'(x,1)-(\lambda_1+\delta)\nu(x,1)+\lambda_1\nu(x,2)=0,$$

where $\widetilde{L}_i(u)\psi=\frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2\psi''(\cdot,i)+\big[\mu(i)-u\big]\psi'(\cdot,i)-\delta\psi(\cdot,i)-\lambda_i\psi(\cdot,i)+\lambda_i\psi(\cdot,2-i)$, $i\in\{1,2\}$ and we have

$$\max_{u\in[0,L]}\Big\{\widetilde{L}_1(u)\nu(x,1)+u\Big\}=\widetilde{L}_1(\hat{u})\nu(x,1)+\hat{u}=0.$$

Then we have equation

$$\big(\nu(x,1)-\mathcal{M}\nu(x,1)\big)\big(\max_{u\in[0,L]}\Big\{\widetilde{L}_1(u)\nu(x,1)+u\Big\}\big)=0.$$

Further, we can prove, on the interval $[0,b_1)$, inequality $\nu(x,1)>\mathcal{M}\nu(x,1)$ holds. Indeed by differentiating $\nu(x-\eta)+\eta-K$ with respect to η, we can see function $\nu(x-\eta)+\eta-K$ is decrease with respect to η. Thus on the interval $[0,b_1)$

$$\mathcal{M}\nu(x,1)=\lim_{\eta\mapsto0^+}\nu(x-\eta)+\eta-K=\nu(x,1)-K<\nu(x,1).$$

So QVI is satisfied for $\nu(x,1)$ on interval $[0,b_1)$.

Secondly, on the interval $[b_1,B_1)$, $\nu'(x,1)\leq 1$, then we have

$$\widetilde{L}_1(u)\nu(x,1)+u\leq \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\nu''(x,1)+(\mu_1-L)\nu'(x,1)-(\lambda_1+\delta)\nu(x,1)+\lambda_1\nu(x,2)+L=0,$$

and

$$\max_{u\in[0,L]}\left\{\widetilde{L}_1(u)\nu(x,1)+u\right\}=\widetilde{L}_1(\hat{u})\nu(x,1)+\hat{u}=0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\nu(x,1)>\mathcal{M}\nu(x,1),$$

indeed differentiating $\nu(x-\eta)+\eta-K$ with respect to η, leads to $\frac{\partial[\nu(x-\eta)+\eta-K]}{\partial\eta}\geq 0$, which imply when $x-\eta\in[b_1,B_1)$, function $\nu(x-\eta)+\eta-K$ is increase with respect to η and at the point $\eta=x-b_1$, the maximum is obtain. Thus when $x\in[b_1,B_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}\nu(x,1) &= \nu(b_1,1)+x-b_1-K\\ &= \nu(b_1,1)+B_1-b_1-(B_1-x)-K\\ &= \nu(B_1,1)-(B_1-x)\leq\nu(x,1).\end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, we consider the case $x\in[B_1,b_2)$. To begin with, we need to note $\nu'(x,2)$ is decrease on the interval $[B_1,b_2)$. Indeed, for $x\in[B_1,b_2)$

$$\nu'(x,2)=\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{A}_1e^{\hat{\alpha}_1(x-b_2)}+\hat{\alpha}_2\hat{A}_2e^{\hat{\alpha}_2(x-b_2)}+\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta}.$$

From definition of b_2 and smooth fit condition, we get $\nu'(x,2)>\nu'(b_2,2)=1$, then we have

$$\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{A}_1e^{\hat{\alpha}_1(x-b_2)}+\hat{\alpha}_2\hat{A}_2e^{\hat{\alpha}_2(x-b_2)}+\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2+\delta}>1,$$

thus

$$\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{A}_1e^{\hat{\alpha}_1(x-b_2)}+\hat{\alpha}_2\hat{A}_2e^{\hat{\alpha}_2(x-b_2)}>\frac{\delta}{\lambda_2+\delta}>0,$$

hence

$$\nu'''(x,2)=\hat{\alpha}_1^2\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{A}_1e^{\hat{\alpha}_1(x-b_2)}+\hat{\alpha}_1^2\hat{\alpha}_2\hat{A}_2e^{\hat{\alpha}_2(x-b_2)}>0,$$

which imply $\nu'(x,2)$ is strictly convex function. Combing with the truth $\nu'(x,2)>\nu'(b_2,2)$. we know $\nu'(x,2)$ strictly decrease on the interval $[B_1,b_2)$.

Next we need to note $\nu''(x,1)$ is strictly increasing in certain left neighborhood of point B_1. Indeed, by smooth fit condition and continuity, function $\nu'(B_1-,1)>0$, therefore on this neighborhood we have

$$\nu'(x,1)=\widetilde{\alpha}_1\widetilde{A}_1e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1(x-B_1)}+\widetilde{\alpha}_2\widetilde{A}_2e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2(x-B_1)}+\widetilde{\alpha}_3\widetilde{A}_3e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3(x-B_1)}+\widetilde{\alpha}_4\widetilde{A}_4e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4(x-B_1)}>0,$$

then we obtain

$$\nu'''(x,1) = \widetilde{\alpha}_1^3 \widetilde{A}_1 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_1(x-B_1)} + \widetilde{\alpha}_2^3 \widetilde{A}_2 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_2(x-B_1)} + \widetilde{\alpha}_3^3 \widetilde{A}_3 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_3(x-B_1)} + \widetilde{\alpha}_4^3 \widetilde{A}_4 e^{\widetilde{\alpha}_4(x-B_1)} > 0.$$

As a result we know $\nu''(x,1)$ is strictly increasing on this neighborhood.

Now we begin to prove that, on the interval $[B_1, b_2)$, the function $\nu(x,1)$ satisfies QVI. For $x \in [B_1, b_2)$ and for every $u \in [0, L]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(x) &:= \widetilde{L}_1(u)\nu(x,1) + u = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\nu''(x,1) + (\mu_1 - u)\nu'(x,1) - (\delta + \lambda_1)\nu(x,1) + \lambda_1\nu(x,2) + u \\ &= (\mu_1 - u) - (\delta + \lambda_1)\nu(x,1) + \lambda_1\nu(x,2) + u \\ &= \mu_1 - (\delta + \lambda_1)\nu(x,1) + \lambda_1\nu(x,2), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\mathcal{A}'(x) = -(\delta + \lambda_1) + \lambda_1\nu'(x,2),$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}''(x) = \lambda_1\nu''(x,2) < 0. \tag{4.67}$$

Here we use the conclusion that $\nu'(x,2)$ is strictly decreased on the interval $[B_1, b_2)$. (4.67) imply $\mathcal{A}(x)$ is concave function on the interval $[B_1, b_2)$, and lead to $\mathcal{A}'(x)$ is decrease on $[B_1, b_2)$, then combining with theorem 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}'(x) &\leq \mathcal{A}'(B_1) = -(\delta + \lambda_1) + \lambda_1\nu'(B_1, 2) = -(\delta + \lambda_1) + \lambda_1\nu'(B_1-, 2) \\ &\leq -(\delta + \lambda_1) + \lambda_1\frac{\delta + \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We know $\mathcal{A}(x)$ is decreased, then we have $\mathcal{A}(x) \leq \mathcal{A}(B_1) \leq 0$

On the other hand, we can prove for $x \in [B_1, b_2)$, $\nu(x,1) = \mathcal{M}\nu(x,1)$. Indeed for $x \in [B_1, b_2)$,

$$\nu(x,1) = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K$$

let $\eta^* = x - b_1$

$$\nu(x - \eta^*, 1) + \eta^* - K = \nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K.$$

so we get $\nu(x,1) = \mathcal{M}\nu(x,1)$. Combining with the obvious truth $\nu(x,1) \geq \mathcal{M}\nu(x,1)$, we have completed the proof that $\nu(x,1)$ satisfies QVI on the $[B_1, b_2)$.

In the end we verify that on $[b_2, \infty)$, $\nu(x,1)$ satisfies QVI. With the same argument, we know for $x \in [b_2, \infty)$, $\nu(x,1) = \mathcal{M}\nu(x,1)$. Besides, we have

$$\max_{u \in [0,L]} \left\{ \widetilde{L}_1(u)\nu(x,1) + u \right\} \leq 0.$$

Indeed, since $\nu''(b_2,1)=0$ and the result satisfied by $\nu(x,1)$ on the interval $x\in[B_1,b_2)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mu_1-u)\nu'(b_2,1)-(\delta+\lambda_1)\nu(b_2,1)+\lambda_1\nu(b_2,2)+u\\
&=\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\nu''(b_2-,1)+(\mu_1-u)\nu'(b_2,1)-(\delta+\lambda_1)\nu(b_2,1)+\lambda_1\nu(b_2,2)+u\\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So for $x>b_2$, note $\nu''(x,1)=0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\nu''(x,1)+(\mu_1-u)\nu'(x,1)-(\delta+\lambda_1)\nu(x,1)+\lambda_1\nu(x,2)+u\\
&=(\mu_1-u)-(\delta+\lambda_1)\nu(x,1)+\lambda_1\nu(x,2)+u\\
&=(\mu_1-u)-(\delta+\lambda_1)\nu(b_2,1)+\lambda_1\nu(b_2,2)+u+(\delta+\lambda_1)[\nu(b_2,1)-\nu(x,1)]+\lambda_1[\nu(x,2)-\nu(b_2,2)]\\
&\leq(\delta+\lambda_1)\big[\nu(b_2,1)-\nu(x,1)\big]+\lambda_1\big[\nu(x,2)-\nu(b_2,2)\big]\\
&=(\delta+\lambda_1)\big[\nu(b_1,1)+b_2-b_1-K-(\nu(b_1,1)+x-b_1-K)\big]+\lambda_1\big[\nu(x,2)-\nu(b_2,2)\big]\\
&=(\delta+\lambda_1)(b_2-x)+\lambda_1\nu'(\xi,2)(x-b_2)\\
&\leq(\delta+\lambda_1)(b_2-x)+\lambda_1(x-b_2)\\
&\leq-\delta(x-b_2)\leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi\in[b_2,x)$, which lead to $\nu'(\xi,2)\leq 1$. Then we have

$$\max_{u\in[0,L]}\left\{\widetilde{L}_1(u)\nu(x,1)+u\right\}\leq 0.$$

In the following, we need to verify function $\nu(x,2)$ satisfies QVI, which is similar to the way of proving the case of $\nu(x,1)$. We demonstrate briefly:

At first, on the interval $[0,b_2)$, $\nu'(x,2)>1$, we have

$$\widetilde{L}_2(u)\nu(x,2)+u\leq\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\nu''(x,2)+\mu_1\nu'(x,2)-(\lambda_2+\delta)\nu(x,1)+\lambda_2(x,2)=0,$$

and

$$\max_{u\in[0,L]}\left\{\widetilde{L}_2(u)\nu(x,2)+u\right\}=\widetilde{L}_2(\hat{u})\nu(x,2)+\hat{u}=0.$$

Further, on the interval $[0,b_2)$, inequality $\nu(x,2)>\mathcal{M}\nu(x,2)$ hold. As a result, we know QVI establish on $[0,b_2)$

Secondly, on the interval $[b_2,B_2)$, $\nu'(x,2)\leq 1$, we have

$$\widetilde{L}_2(u)\nu(x,2)+u\leq\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\nu''(x,2)+(\mu_1-L)\nu'(x,2)-(\lambda_2+\delta)\nu(x,1)+\lambda_2\nu(x,2)+L=0,$$

and

$$\max_{u\in[0,L]}\left\{\widetilde{L}_2(u)\nu(x,2)+u\right\}=\widetilde{L}_2(\hat{u})\nu(x,2)+\hat{u}=0.$$

On the other hand, inequality $\nu(x,2) > \mathcal{M}\nu(x,2)$ hold on $[b_2, B_2)$. Then we know QVI established on this interval.

Thirdly, we need to verify QVI hold for $\nu(x,2)$ on $[B_2,\infty)$. Since $\nu''(B_2-,1) \geq 0$ and $\nu'(B_1,1) = 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mu_2 - u)\nu'(B_2,1) - (\delta+\lambda_2)\nu(B_2,1) + \lambda_2\nu(B_2,2) + u \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2\nu''(B_2-,1) + (\mu_2-u)\nu'(B_2,2) - (\delta+\lambda_2)\nu(B_2,1) + \lambda_2\nu(B_2,2) + u \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, for $x > B_2$, $\nu''(x,2) = 0$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2\nu''(x,2) + (\mu_2-u)\nu'(x,2) - (\delta+\lambda_2)\nu(x,1) + \lambda_2\nu(x,2) + u \\
&= (\mu_2-u) - (\delta+\lambda_2)\nu(x,1) + \lambda_2\nu(x,2) + u \\
&= (\mu_2-u) - (\delta+\lambda_2)\nu(B_2,1) + \lambda_2\nu(B_2,2) + u + (\delta+\lambda_2)\big[\nu(B_2,1) - \nu(x,1)\big] + \lambda_2\big[\nu(x,2) - \nu(B_2,2)\big] \\
&\leq (\delta+\lambda_2)\big[\nu(B_2,1) - \nu(x,1)\big] + \lambda_1\big[\nu(x,2) - \nu(B_2,2)\big] \\
&= (\delta+\lambda_2)\big[\nu(b_1,1) + B_2 - b_1 - K - (\nu(b_1,1) + x - b_1 - K)\big] \\
&\quad +\lambda_2\big[\nu(b_2,2) + x - b_2 - K - (\nu(b_2,2) + B_2 - b_2 - K)\big] \\
&= (\delta+\lambda_2)(B_2 - x) + \lambda_2(x - B_2) \\
&= \delta(B_2 - x) \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\max_{u\in[0,L]}\left\{\widetilde{L}_2(u)\nu(x,2) + u\right\} \leq 0.$$

Combining with $\nu(x,2) = \mathcal{M}\nu(x,2)$, we know QVI is satisfied.

As far as the case in which $\nu'(0,1) = 1$ and $\nu'(0,2) > 1$, the verfication is similar, we omit it. □

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we stand the point of a manager to consider the optimal dividend problem. We assume the surplus of the company is affected by macroeconomic conditions. The manager have to make decision based on economic change which is described by a continuous-time Markov chain. The main contribution of this paper is that we break through the limitation of single dividend strategy to consider the mixed classical-impulse dividend strategy, and the value function as well as the optimal dividend strategy are explicitly derived.

References

[1] Hansjörg Albrecher and Reinhold Kainhofer. Risk theory with a nonlinear dividend barrier. *Computing*, 68(4):289–311, 2002.

[2] Hansjörg Albrecher*, Jürgen Hartinger, and Robert F Tichy. On the distribution of dividend payments and the discounted penalty function in a risk model with linear dividend barrier. *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal*, 2005(2):103–126, 2005.

[3] Søren Asmussen and Michael Taksar. Controlled diffusion models for optimal dividend payout. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 20(1):1–15, 1997.

[4] Pablo Azcue and Nora Muler. Optimal reinsurance and dividend distribution policies in the cramér-lundberg model. *Mathematical Finance*, 15(2):261–308, 2005.

[5] Abel Cadenillas, Tahir Choulli, Michael Taksar, and Lei Zhang. Classical and impulse stochastic control for the optimization of the dividend and risk policies of an insurance firm. *Mathematical Finance*, 16(1):181–202, 2006.

[6] Bruno De Finetti. Su unimpostazione alternativa della teoria collettiva del rischio. In *Transactions of the XVth International Congress of Actuaries*, volume 2, pages 433–443, 1957.

[7] Hans U Gerber and Elias SW Shiu. On optimal dividend strategies in the compound poisson model. *North American Actuarial Journal*, 10(2):76–93, 2006.

[8] Ioannis Karatzas. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, volume 113. springer, 1991.

[9] Shuanming Li and José Garrido. On a class of renewal risk models with a constant dividend barrier. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 35(3):691–701, 2004.

[10] X Sheldon Lin and Kristina P Pavlova. The compound poisson risk model with a threshold dividend strategy. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 38(1):57–80, 2006.

[11] RL Loeffen. An optimal dividends problem with transaction costs for spectrally negative lévy processes. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 45(1):41–48, 2009.

[12] RL Loeffen et al. On optimality of the barrier strategy in de finettis dividend problem for spectrally negative lévy processes. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 18(5):1669–1680, 2008.

[13] Luz R Sotomayor and Abel Cadenillas. Classical and singular stochastic control for the optimal dividend policy when there is regime switching. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 48 (3):344–354, 2011.

[14] Jiaqin Wei, Hailiang Yang, and Rongming Wang. Classical and impulse control for the optimization of dividend and proportional reinsurance policies with regime switching. *Journal of optimization theory and applications*, 147(2):358–377, 2010.

[15] Jiaqin Wei, Hailiang Yang, and Rongming Wang. Optimal reinsurance and dividend strategies under the markov-modulated insurance risk model. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 28 (6):1078–1105, 2010.